

Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

1. the CoC Application,
2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

1. The FY 2026 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
2. The FY 2026 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It

- 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2026 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.
- 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: WA-508 - Vancouver/Clark County CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: Council for the Homeless

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: Council for the Homeless

1B. Coordination and Engagement–Accountable Structure and Participation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1B-1.	Accountable Structure and Participation.	
-------	--	--

In the chart below for the period from June 1, 2025 to May 31, 2026:

1.	select 'Yes' or 'No' in the chart below if the entity listed participated in CoC meetings or select 'Nonexistent' if the entity does not exist in your CoC's geographic area, and
2.	enter the number of persons on the board for each category where you answered 'Yes' in the Participated in CoC Meetings column.

You must click Save at the bottom of the form before entering the number of persons for each category where you answered 'Yes'.

	Entity	Participated in CoC Meetings	Number of Board Members
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	0
2.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction(s)	Yes	2
3.	Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinic (CCBHC)	Yes	0
4.	Community Mental Health Center (CMHC)	Yes	0
5.	Disability Advocate(s)	Yes	1
6.	Disability Service Organization(s)	Yes	0
7.	Emergency Medical Services (EMS)/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	0
8.	Employment Assistance Program(s) (e.g., Local Workforce Development, American Job Center)	Yes	0
9.	Faith-based Organization(s)	Yes	0
10.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Person(s)	Yes	2
11.	Hospital(s)	Yes	0
12.	Other Primary Healthcare Provider(s) (e.g., Federally Qualified Health Center, Healthcare for the Homeless)	Yes	0
13.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Yes	0
14.	Law Enforcement	Yes	1
15.	Local Court Systems, Including Specialty Courts (e.g., Mental Health Court, Drug Court, Care Court)	Yes	1
16.	Local Government Staff/Official(s)	Yes	2

17.	State Government Staff/Official(s)	Yes	0
18.	State or Local Elected Public Official(s)	Yes	3
19.	Local Jail(s)	Yes	0
20.	Mental Health Service Organization(s)	Yes	0
21.	Mental Illness Advocate(s)	Yes	0
22.	Organization(s) led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes	0
23.	Other homeless subpopulation advocate(s)	Yes	1
24.	Other nonprofit homeless assistance provider(s)	Yes	1
25.	Other social service provider(s)	Yes	0
26.	Public Housing Agencies	Yes	1
27.	Recovery Housing or Sober Living Provider(s)	Yes	0
28.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaison(s)	Yes	1
29.	School District(s)	Yes	1
30.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	1
31.	Substance Abuse Advocate(s)	Yes	1
32.	Substance Abuse Service Organization(s)	Yes	0
33.	Universities	Yes	0
34.	Veteran Serving Organization(s)	Yes	0
35.	Agencies Serving Survivors of Human Trafficking	Yes	0
36.	Victim Service Provider(s)	Yes	0
37.	Domestic Violence Advocate(s)	Yes	1
38.	Other Victim Service Organization(s)	No	
39.	State Domestic Violence Coalition	Yes	0
40.	State Sexual Assault Coalition	Yes	0
41.	Youth Advocate(s)	Yes	1
42.	Youth Homeless Organization(s)	Yes	1
43.	Youth Service Provider(s)	Yes	1
44.	Other Homeless Assistance Provider(s)	Yes	0
45.	Local Business or Chamber of Commerce or a Member of a Business Improvement District	Yes	1
	Other: (limit 50 characters)		
46.			
47.			

1B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members.	
-------	----------------------------------	--

Describe your CoC's transparent process (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to invite new members to join the CoC at least annually.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Community members may join all CoC groups at any time, with the exception of the governing board. Members of the CoC group are encouraged at every opportunity to invite colleagues, friends, and clients who may be interested in joining to attend the meetings. The CoC sends out email invitations broadly prior to each monthly meeting, and also shares the opportunity on social media and provides information to community agencies. The workgroup and ad hoc meetings are shared at the large CoC meeting and attendees are encouraged to attend. An invitation flyer is also on the public CoC website to attend. Multiple times a year, the CoC Lead Agency will also seek out specific groups or individuals when a gap has been identified or a new group has joined the community.

1B-3.	CoC's Strategy to Solicit and Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness.	
-------	--	--

Describe your CoC's transparent process (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to solicit and consider opinions regarding the CoC's general performance, strategies, and priority setting process from a broad array of individuals and organizations that have knowledge of homelessness or an interest in preventing or ending homelessness in the CoC's geographic area.
--

(limit 2,500 characters)

When developing an action plan or soliciting feedback for a major initiative, the CoC solicits information through targeted text surveys, virtual and in person forums, and individual or small group discussions virtually or in person. In text surveys, people are asked if they are willing to engage further—this provides a list of interested stakeholders who may be willing to gather for a virtual or in person meetings for further discussion. When major decisions are being made, members of the CoC will visit agencies' meetings to solicit feedback and invite member feedback. Opportunities to provide feedback are also posted on the CoC website.

The CoC communicates information during public meetings through verbal presentation, often accompanied by visuals and one-page summaries of the information provided to attendees. All materials provided during the meetings are sent out prior to the meeting when possible. During meetings, the attendees are often then divided into smaller facilitated groups to glean feedback and answer or collect questions. Minutes from the meeting are posted publicly on the CoC website and details regarding how to provide input are specified.

The information gathered in public meetings and forums is summarized in meeting notes and shared with the CoC Steering Committee members. The feedback is considered when developing new policies or making changes to the existing policies. Based on community survey responses, topics have included focus on workforce development and increasing participant income through job development training.

1B-4.	Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding.	
-------	--	--

Describe your CoC's transparent process to accept and consider proposals from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding including faith-based organizations.
--

(limit 2,500 characters)

The announcement, webpages, and request for application all specified “New applicants are welcome to apply.” The Collaborative Applicant also hosted an interested parties webinar early in the RFA process to provide coaching to agencies who may be new to the process. The CoC website and interested applicant meeting described how applicants need to submit their applications. The interested applicant meeting recording and slidedeck were posted on the CoC website for reference. Applicants were welcomed to contact the Collaborative Applicant with any further questions they had. Several new providers, including faith-based partners, attended the meeting and reached out afterwards, and the Collaborative Applicant provided technical assistance. One new organization applied for a new SSO project.

The local competition applications for new and renewal projects both included instructions to include examples and data to support their answers, which were included in scoring tool metrics. Applicants were encouraged to read the FY2026 NOFO HUD Priorities for application guidance. The CoC Steering Committee voted not to approve a Project Priority Ranking Policy, and projects were therefore ranked based solely on application scores. Applicants were notified a Scoring Committee would review local applications and the CoC Steering Committee would vote to approve the final project Priority Listing. Throughout this process, invitations and communication were largely conducted via written word, including email and website posting.

1B-5	Plan for Comprehensive Strategies for Reducing Homelessness.
------	--

Describe how your CoC will incorporate comprehensive strategies for reducing homelessness, including interventions in 42 U.S.C. 11386b(d) and other targeted projects for specific subpopulations, by providing a plan to address a gap in the CoC's current homelessness system.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC recently finalized the Clark County 5-year Local Homeless Housing Plan (LHHP) in collaboration with local government leaders. The LHHP charts a coordinated, evidence-based path for the CoC to address homelessness by identifying local needs, assessing system capacity, and setting priorities for action. Goals and measurements are quantifiable, were created based on data and stakeholder feedback, are set to be fulfilled by 2031, and are overseen by the CoC Lead Agency. Homelessness prevention was named as a primary goal in this process as a primary method of reducing homelessness rates by providing upstream solutions that lower the number of households entering the HCRS. Collaboration with City and County partners to produce and preserve affordable housing via the creation of a housing task force was identified as a step in increasing homelessness prevention. The CoC also seeks to reduce the rate of evictions, as measured by eviction filings per 1,000 renters, and develop a landlord-tenant mediation process that will both protect landowner rights and prevent evictions that lead to a strained homeless service system. The CoC also seeks to increase diversion and prevention coordination services in priority populations—youth, veterans, families, and chronically homeless individuals—which will be measured by an increase in long-term stabilization and positive exits in HMIS.

All PSH programs in the CoC focus on chronically homeless individuals and families. The CoC currently operates a Youth by-name-list (BNL), a Veteran BNL, and a Chronic BNL, and is in the process of starting a Family BNL. Similar to the other BNLs, the Family BNL will pull together partners to reduce barriers to housing for families with children. This effort is focused on a 15% reduction of family homelessness and includes private, state, and local funding to leverage towards this goal.

1B-5a Confirmation of Plan Coverage.

Select 'Yes' or 'No' in the chart below to indicate whether your narrative in question 1B-5 addresses the following aspects of your plan to address a gap in the CoC's current homelessness system.

1. Identifies the gap, using data or stakeholder feedback	Yes
2. The strategy to address the needs of all relevant subpopulations	Yes
3. Sets quantifiable performance measures	Yes
4. Identifies timelines for the completion of specific tasks	Yes
5. Identifies specific funding sources for planned activities	Yes
6. An individual or body responsible for overseeing implementation of specific strategies	Yes

1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

	1C-1. Treatment and Recovery Services—On-site Substance Use Treatment Availability.	
	You must upload the List of TH/RRH/PSH Projects and Treatment Providers with On-Site Substance Use Treatment attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.	
	What percent of your TH/RRH/PSH projects have substance use treatment available on site?	100%

If you are a rural CoC, describe what substance use treatment is available in your CoC and how people you serve access those services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

n/a

1C-1a. Treatment and Recovery Services—Outpatient Treatment for Mental Health and Substance Use Disorders.

Describe how your CoC partners with, or has projects that provide, outpatient treatment for mental health and substance use disorders with a range of appropriate levels of care, psychosocial interventions, medication management, suicide prevention, and recovery supports.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC partners with projects that provide mental health and Substance Use Disorder (SUD) treatment and recovery, including Carelon Behavioral Health, PeaceHealth, and local treatment and recovery centers such as Northstar Clinic. For example, one program in the CoC, A Way Home, consists of a partnership between Council for the Homeless, Clark County Jail Services, and Carelon Behavioral Health. In 2026, the project shifted focus to program participants in re-entry requiring treatment, recovery, and/or prevention for Opioid Use Disorder (OUD). The program expands warm hand-off services to transition participants to recovery services. A Way Home provides comprehensive wraparound services to participants in recovery with OUD and co-occurring SUD and mental health conditions. The CoC can identify if a participant household does not have health insurance and connect the client with any Medicaid service provider to enroll. This information is accessible via CE, including homeless outreach teams, community health workers, and peer agencies. The CoC partners with Peace Health to provide medical services onsite at 3 PSH locations. Community Services NW and Lifeline Connections provide behavioral health services at 2 PSH locations.

1C-1b. Treatment and Recovery Services—Peer Support and Recovery Navigation.

Describe how your CoC partners with, or has projects that provide access to, peer recovery specialists or other forms of peer support and recovery navigation.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC regularly provides trainings from SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certified trainers to expand the number of staff across the CoC able to provide this service, with a particular focus on Coordinated Outreach teams. The local CoC agencies have a focus on peer support programs, offering opportunities of development and job skills training to increase employment opportunities for people who are currently homeless or have recently exited homelessness. The CoC also partners with several agencies that provide peer support and recovery navigation onsite at PSH locations. In addition to providing onsite peer support, CoC partnered agencies work closely with other systems of care including local hospitals, emergency rooms, courts, and local jail services to provide proactive wraparound supports for individuals exiting those systems of care into housing programs.

1C-1c. Treatment and Recovery Services—Connecting Individuals Experiencing Serious Mental Illness with the Services Necessary to Promote Stability.

Describe how your CoC identifies individuals experiencing Serious Mental Illness and connects them with the services necessary to promote stability, such as Assertive Community Treatment teams and other models that combine intensive care coordination and assertive outreach with comprehensive treatment.

(limit 2,500 characters)

CoC homeless service staff are trained to recognize and document observable behavior indicative of serious mental illness and provide supportive service referrals, including to programs that connect participants with behavioral health care. The CoC works closely with 988, regional crisis line, mobile crisis response teams, law enforcement and first responders, crisis stabilization teams, and behavioral and medical health providers. Partners include Lifeline Connections Behavioral Health County Program of Assertive Community Treatment (PACT), an assertive treatment program that provides intensive community-based services designed to provide a multidisciplinary wraparound treatment approach. The program is tailored to individuals who have substance use disorders and mental health conditions. Lifeline also provides onsite behavioral health support for PSH locations.

One program in the CoC, A Way Home, consists of a partnership between Council for the Homeless, Clark County Jail Services, and Carelon Behavioral Health. In 2026, the project shifted focus to program participants in re-entry requiring treatment, recovery, and/or prevention for Opioid Use Disorder (OUD). The program expands warm hand-off services to transition participants to recovery services. A Way Home provides comprehensive wraparound services to participants in recovery with OUD and co-occurring SUD and mental health conditions.

1C-1d. Treatment and Recovery Services—Coordination with Providers in Connection with Drug or Other Specialty Courts.

Identify at least one partnership the CoC has with a provider or entity providing services in connection with Drug Courts and other specialty courts serving individuals with mental and substance use disorders, Assisted Outpatient Treatment (AOT) programs, and inpatient civil commitment.

(limit 500 characters)

Community Court is a partnership between Council for the Homeless, law enforcement, Clark County District Court, Therapeutic Specialty Courts Services Specialist, Clark County Jail Services, and local city and nonprofit teams.

Describe how your CoC coordinates with the provider or entity identified above to support housing stability and movement towards independence, including by leveraging court orders.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC coordinates with Community Court via weekly case conferencing and designating staff to provide onsite supportive services and system navigation support. The program provides diversion services to avoid jail, reduce recidivism, and prevent homelessness for individuals with mental and substance use disorders. The program began in one city within the CoC region, and has since expanded to other jurisdictions. Community Court seeks to reduce and properly address quality-of-life offenses by utilizing a collaborative, problem-solving approach to crime. Community Court promotes participant accountability while helping them avoid future involvement in the criminal justice system by connecting participants to needed services or treatment. Police officers will cite eligible offenses into Community Court at the next available court date. A prosecutor will screen the citation to ensure the case is appropriate. Court-appointed attorneys are available at arraignment to individuals referred to Community Court to discuss the process, review the police report, and provide advice prior to entering the program. A CoC supportive service coordinator will then conduct a needs assessment and connect the individual with services such as housing, healthcare, and behavioral health care. Participants are required to meet supportive service requirements to get their charges dismissed. Prosecutors may also refer other charges to courts such as Substance Abuse Court, Mental Health Court, or Veteran's Court, who may better serve more serious charges and individuals who need longer term services.

1C-1e. Treatment and Recovery Services—Partnership with Local Crisis System of Care.

Identify at least one partnership the CoC has with the local crisis system of care including 988 and crisis contact centers, mobile crisis and outreach services, and crisis stabilization services.

(limit 500 characters)

CoC partners collaborate with the local behavioral health crisis system, including an adult mobile crisis team that works closely with coordinated outreach teams. The adult mobile crisis team provides a short-term service that provides a mobile face-to-face response to an adult experiencing a behavioral health crisis. Interventions are administered for the purpose of identifying, assessing, treating, and stabilizing the situation and reducing immediate risk of danger to the individual or others.

1C-1f.	Treatment and Recovery Services—Availability of Units for Persons Reporting Chronic Substance Use.	
	You must upload the List of CoC Program Projects and the Number of Units that require Substance Abuse Treatment attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.	

Identify the number of CoC Program-funded units that require program participant engagement in substance abuse treatment services as a condition of continued participation in the program in accordance with 24 CFR 578.75.	0
--	---

1C-1g.	Treatment and Recovery Services—24/7 Access to Detox, Residential, or Inpatient Treatment.	
--------	--	--

Is there 24/7 access to detox, residential, and inpatient behavioral health treatment within the geographic area of your CoC?	Yes
---	-----

1C-1h. Treatment and Recovery Services—Formal Partnership with Behavioral and Mental Health Facilities.

Identify at least one formal partnership the CoC has with a Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinic (CCBHC), Community Mental Health Center (CMHC), SAMHSA Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH) provider, Grants for the Benefit of Homeless Individuals (GBHI) provider, or a similar facility if none of the above are located in the geographic area.

(limit 500 characters)

CCBHC and CMHC – Columbia River Mental Health Services
PATH and CMHC – SeaMar
CMHC – Lifeline Connections

1C-1i. Treatment and Recovery Services—Sober Housing Availability.

Identify at least one new or existing CoC Program-funded project that operates sober housing in accordance with 24 CFR 578.93(b)(5).

(limit 500 characters)

The CoC does not have any funded projects operating sober housing that require sobriety as a requirement of accessing services. The CoC does have numerous privately funded transitional housing programs that require sobriety that partner closely with publicly funded programs. CoC funded programs work closely with these partners to provide case management, assistance with move in costs, and assistance with transition into long term housing programs through financial or case management supports.

1C-1j. Treatment and Recovery Services—Warm Hand-Offs to Stable Housing Providers.

Describe how your CoC coordinates with the programs named in questions 1C-1a through 1C-1i to facilitate warm hand-offs to stable housing providers and prevent entry into homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Sober living partners are an integral part of the CoC. They participate in general membership meetings, Coordinated Outreach, and Coordinated Access training and assessment to ensure all participants are provided access to long term housing supports and are offered shelter and transitional housing options that meet their needs. The CoC partners with sober living programs to ensure all program participants are provided with supportive services and housing opportunities, including referral to CoC-funded programs and Diversion services to assist with relocation and move-in costs. The CoC also works with sober living partners to ensure long term stability and reduced homelessness recidivism for exiting participants, including peer support, wraparound support, and homelessness prevention services.

1C-2.	Supportive Services Investment.	
-------	---------------------------------	--

	Number
1. Enter your CoC's FY 2026 Annual Renewal Demand (ARD).	\$2,207,291
2. Of projects your CoC proposed to be funded in the FY 2026 CoC Program Competition, enter the total amount of funding for the supportive services budget line items.	\$445,600
3. Percent of your CoC's FY 2026 ARD (from element 1 above) that is supportive services funding (from element 2 above).	20%
4. Enter the amount of funding, leverage, match, and funding commitment from other formal partnerships in supportive services for the projects included in your CoC's FY 2026 ARD.	\$141,405
5. Percent of your CoC's FY 2026 ARD (from element 1 above) that is the supportive services funding in proposed projects (from element 2 above) plus the amount of funding, leverage, match, and funding commitment from other formal partnerships in supportive services (from element 4 above).	27%

1C-3.	Participation Requirements for Supportive Services.
	You must upload the Language from Project Supportive Service Agreements attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.

What percent of your housing projects (TH, PH-PSH, PH-RRH, and Joint projects) require program participants to take part in supportive services (e.g. case management, employment training, substance use disorder treatment) in line with 24 CFR 578.75(h)?	0.00%
--	-------

1C-4. Consulting with ESG Recipients.

Describe how your CoC has and will continue to consult with ESG recipients in the planning and allocation of ESG funds.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC consults with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG Program funds. We provide Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within the ESG Program recipients geographic area and ensure that local homelessness information is communicated and addressed in the Consolidated Plan updates. The CoC also coordinates with ESG recipients in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients.

1C-4a. Coordination with Emergency Shelter Providers.

Describe how your CoC coordinates with shelter providers to connect individuals and families with CoC assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC coordinates with shelter providers to connect individuals and families with housing assessments, supportive services, transitional housing, and permanent housing via CE. These include winter overflow shelters, the local DV shelter, and four Safe Stay shelters.

The CoC has focused on providing housing navigation support for households in shelter to ensure households can work on addressing and reducing housing barriers while in shelter. Housing Navigators work closely with households and landlords, developing strong relationships to ensure the household has long term stability once housed. Coordinated Outreach efforts in the CoC provide wraparound supports for households who are less likely to engage with services, resulting in successful outcomes for many chronically homeless households in the community.

The CoC also operates a Severe Weather Task Force in collaboration with local jurisdictions, service providers, outreach teams, law enforcement and first responders, and emergency shelter providers. The CoC Lead Agency convenes monthly task force meetings to coordinate a collective response to severe weather events, including availability of and referral to emergency shelters.

1C-5. Data Sharing to Inform the Homelessness Response System.

Describe how your CoC has or will share PIT, HIC, HMIS, and System Performance data with state and local government as permitted by law in order to inform the homelessness response system.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC HMIS team updates the System Numbers Dashboard every month with numbers collected from programs and CE staff. The CoC lead agency provides regular education opportunities to government agencies, the public, the CoC, and elected officials. These opportunities include PIT, HIC, HMIS, and System Performance data review. PIT, HIC, HMIS, and System Performance data are shared with local, county, and state government agencies. All data shared and posted adheres to the CoC's HMIS Data Privacy, Data Security, and Data Quality policies.

1C-5a. Using Other Data Sources Alongside HMIS to Improve Care.

Describe how your CoC utilizes other data sources alongside HMIS to improve care (e.g., health care utilization and claims data, electronic health care record data; admission, discharge, and transfer data; and law enforcement and corrections system data).

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC has begun working with local emergency rooms to provide co-located positions for individuals engaging with the homeless response system and local emergency rooms. To reduce rates of system engagement, the CoC is working to track who these individuals are and decrease reliance on both systems by providing targeted support meant to increase their health outcomes and stabilize their housing situation. Coordinated Outreach teams are used to quickly identify individuals who are struggling to meet their healthcare appointments and decrease their reliance on emergency room services. The data and increased partnership efforts has been helpful to increase coordination with CE access and placement into appropriate housing programs or and supportive services.

In addition to prioritizing data sharing between CE and healthcare systems, the CoC has prioritized closer coordination with the local jail system to increase collaboration and communication on individuals accessing both systems at a high rate. Again, the CoC has prioritized the development and investment in co-located positions in the local jail to increase communication and access to highly vulnerable individuals who are struggle to access other resources for their high behavioral health needs. CoC staff partner with peer support, sober living partners, jail, and court staff to provide wraparound support for participants in jail. This include developing exit plans to reduce returns to homelessness and increasing paperwork readiness for rapid access to housing programs upon jail exit.

1C-6. Employment and Workforce Development Partnership.

Identify at least one partnership the CoC has with employment and workforce development programs and organizations such as the Local Workforce Development Board, State Workforce Agency, American Job Center (also known as One-Stop Career Centers), registered apprenticeship program, community and technical college, union training program, adult education provider, or State Vocational Rehabilitation agency.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC partners with employment and workforce development agencies, including Partners in Careers, Work Source, and Next Success, to provide employment assistance to participants. The HMIS team has ensured all program entry/exit information tracks employment income and benefits to ensure the CoC's System Dashboard reports provide trends on how successfully the system and programs are in increasing income supports for households receiving services. The CoC works closely with several employment agencies who utilize HMIS, increasing system collaboration and tracking of services accessed. The CoC regularly invites employment services agencies to present at the general CoC meeting and sends out flyers and resources regularly to ensure greater system collaboration and ensure program staff are aware of resources available in the community.

1C-7. Street Outreach—Cooperation with First Responders and Law Enforcement.

Describe in the field below how your CoC's street outreach projects (including co-response) cooperate with first responders and law enforcement to increase positive interactions in order to increase housing and service engagement and promote use of CoC services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Street outreach providers and local jurisdictions throughout the CoC coordinate with law enforcement and first responders to connect people experiencing unsheltered homelessness with services, shelter, and the local CE system. The City of Vancouver operates HART, a multidisciplinary co-response team that combines dedicated outreach, law enforcement, and public works staff to engage people living unsheltered, address health, safety and sanitation concerns, and connect individuals with available services and shelter.

Council for the Homeless and local law enforcement partners also coordinate a Motel Voucher program, which is frequently used to provide emergency placement for survivors of domestic violence and includes connection to the local domestic violence provider. Council for the Homeless has a formal partnership with Clark County Jail Services to connect people experiencing homelessness during reentry with supportive services, and works with law enforcement and first responders on outreach, PIT Count activities, and severe-weather response.

1C-8. Family or Support Network Reunification.

Describe how your CoC, or your recipients, assist with family or support-network reunification efforts for individuals experiencing homelessness or living in CoC Program-funded housing (e.g., case management, travel costs, arrangement of assistance in another geographic area).

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC regularly explores opportunities to reunite individuals experiencing homelessness with family support systems and/or relocate them to stable, non-subsidized housing. As a Diversion First community, service providers seek creative solutions to address immediate needs, and reunification as a solution is built into the initial first stage of service. The CoC's Diversion programs offer flexible funds to help program participants with move-in costs associated with reunification. Reunification is also considered throughout case management, as a participant's and/or their family or support network's circumstances change. Coordinated outreach teams also use this approach when working with participants.

1C-9	Partnering with Public Housing Agencies—Agreement to Enable Transition to HUD Assisted Housing.		
	Does your CoC have an agreement with at least one public housing agency to enable participants to transition from Transitional Housing, Rapid Re-Housing, and Permanent Supportive Housing to HUD assisted housing?	Yes	

1C-10. Protecting Public Safety—Cooperation with Law Enforcement.

You must upload the Evidence of Coordination and Non-Interference with Local Efforts to Advance Protecting Public Safety attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.

Describe how your CoC cooperates and does not interfere with or impede local efforts to advance the objectives below, and assists first responders in providing services to homeless individuals.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC Steering Committee includes law enforcement representation, and CoC partners coordinate with local jurisdictions, law enforcement, first responders, and outreach providers while recognizing the distinct authorities and responsibilities of each. Local jurisdictions retain responsibility for enforcement and public-space management, while homeless response partners provide outreach, service navigation, shelter connections, and other supports intended to help resolve homelessness and reduce repeated interactions with emergency and justice systems.

The City of Vancouver's HART program is one example of this coordination. HART is a multidisciplinary co-response team combining dedicated outreach, law enforcement, and public works staff. Council for the Homeless separately maintains formal partnerships with Clark County Jail Services and local law enforcement and first responders and first responders on outreach, PIT Count activities, and severe-weather response.

1C-10a. Protecting Public Safety—Plan to Quickly Clear Tents and Encampments.

Identify local laws, policies, or other practices that help or hinder the CoC's ability to quickly clear tents and encampments on public property and connect individuals who are camping in public with appropriate services and provide a plan explaining how the CoC will leverage beneficial policies while overcoming the harmful effects of restrictive ones. If you cannot provide any mitigating steps, please explain why.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Local jurisdictions within the CoC establish and enforce their own laws and policies governing camping and use of public property. Nearly all jurisdictions in the CoC region have some type of camping restriction in place. When a jurisdiction plans an encampment closure, outreach providers coordinate with the responsible jurisdiction and law enforcement to engage residents before the closure, identify available shelter or other appropriate placements, assist with documentation, and provide continued service navigation when possible.

The City of Vancouver operates HART, a multidisciplinary team with dedicated outreach, law enforcement, and public works staff. HART coordinates the City's response to unsheltered homelessness and encampments, including outreach and engagement, connection to available shelter, health and safety response, and coordination of encampment closures and cleanups."

1C-10b. Protecting Public Safety—Current Status of Tents and Encampments.

Describe the current status of tents and encampments in the CoC's geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Over the past year, local jurisdictions and outreach partners within the CoC used coordinated, outreach-centered approaches to address encampments and support individuals living unsheltered. Approaches vary by jurisdiction, but generally include proactive outreach and engagement, assessment of individual needs, connection to available shelter and services, documentation assistance, and coordination of relocation or reunification options when appropriate.

During this time, the City of Vancouver closed two large encampments through a phased approach coordinated through HART and other City departments, with outreach engagement and offers of available shelter preceding closure.

Across the entire geographic region, there are roughly 12 encampments of varying size that outreach teams engage with regularly.

The two encampments that have been closed are an encampment known as the Sound wall, which had 52 people and 25 entered shelter. The other encampment, BBC had 41 people and 23 entered shelter.

1C-10c. Protecting Public Safety—Plan to Decrease the Public Use of Illicit Drugs.

Identify local laws, policies, or other practices that help or hinder the CoC's ability to decrease the public use of illicit drugs and quickly connect individuals who are using illicit drug in public with appropriate services and/or law enforcement and provide a plan explaining how the CoC will leverage beneficial policies while overcoming the harmful effects of restrictive ones. If you cannot provide any mitigating steps, please explain why.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Nearly all jurisdictions represented in the CoC geographic region have adopted camping bans or set specific limitations on where and when individuals can camp for public safety and sanitation purposes to ensure community health and safety is prioritized. All jurisdictions in the CoC geographic area have laws forbidding the public use of illicit drugs. The CoC has continued to see a decline in overdose trends since 2023. The CoC attributes this reduction in part due to significant investments in service provider training and substance use disorder treatment availability.

1C-10d. Protecting Public Safety—Current Status of Overdoses and Illicit Drug Use in Public Spaces.

Describe the current status of overdoses and illicit drug use in public spaces in the CoC's geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC has continued to see a decline in overdose trends since 2023. In 2025, there were 155 drug overdose deaths among residents of Clark County, WA. This is 28.2 overdose deaths per 100,000 residents. Fentanyl (61% or 98 deaths) and methamphetamine (61% or 94 deaths) are the top substances involved in overdose deaths. The majority of drug deaths (61%) involved more than one substance. Men and adults ages 25–64 are most affected. Fentanyl has had the greatest impact on younger adults, ages 25–34, while methamphetamine has most affected older adults, ages 55–64. Between 2021–2023, about 12% (31 deaths) of unintentional overdose deaths occurred outdoors or in a natural area (16 deaths), or in a motor vehicle (15 deaths).

1C-10e. Protecting Public Safety—Standards to Address Danger to Self or Others.

Identify local laws, policies, or other practices that help or hinder the CoC's ability to utilize standards that address homeless individuals who are a danger to themselves or others (e.g., involuntary commitment) and provide a plan explaining how the CoC will leverage beneficial policies while overcoming the harmful effects of restrictive ones. If you cannot provide any mitigating steps, please explain why.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Washington State's Involuntary Treatment Act (ITA), Chapter 71.05 RCW, provides an established mechanism for addressing individuals whose behavioral health condition creates a likelihood of serious harm to themselves, others, or property, or who are gravely disabled. Designated Crisis Responders (DCRs) are authorized to investigate, assess risk, and initiate involuntary detention when statutory criteria are met. In emergencies involving an imminent likelihood of serious harm or imminent danger due to grave disability, an individual may be taken into emergency custody for evaluation and treatment.

These standards benefit the CoC by providing a defined pathway for responding when a person experiencing homelessness presents a serious behavioral health and public safety risk. Clark County is also served by 988, regional crisis line, mobile crisis response teams, and crisis stabilization resources that can provide alternatives to law enforcement or emergency department intervention when clinically appropriate.

Barriers include the appropriately high statutory threshold for involuntary intervention and the requirement that DCRs—not CoC or homeless service staff—make detention determinations, and limitations in behavioral health treatment capacity. These factors can result in situations where an individual exhibits significant deterioration or concerning behavior but does not meet criteria for detention or cannot immediately access the most appropriate level of care.

The CoC will mitigate these barriers by establishing clear referral and escalation protocols with DCRs, 988, regional crisis lines, mobile crisis teams, emergency departments, behavioral-health providers, and first responders. The CoC will train homeless system staff to recognize and document observable behaviors relevant to serious harm and grave disability standards. The CoC will share relevant historical information with crisis professionals when legally permissible and incorporate crisis and safety planning into coordinated outreach and case conferencing. When involuntary treatment criteria are not met, the CoC will pursue other interventions, such as mobile crisis response, behavioral health treatment, supportive housing, or intensive case management.

1C-10f. Protecting Public Safety—Share Information in Accordance with SORNA.

Identify local laws, policies, or other practices that help or hinder the CoC's ability to comprehensively share information, including location information, in accordance with the Sex Offender Registry and Notification Act (SORNA) and provide a plan explaining how the CoC will leverage beneficial policies while overcoming the harmful effects of restrictive ones. If you cannot provide any mitigating steps, please explain why.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC takes the health and safety of all participants seriously and has policies in place to ensure that information sharing to preserve individuals safety is prioritized. For example, comprehensive background checks are completed for all shelter placements to ensure all participants safety when considering filling an opening. The CoC coordinates with law enforcement when individuals pose a risk to the safety of those around them, including coordination and information sharing related to SORNA requirements.

1C-11. Outreach Strategy.

Describe your CoC's strategy to outreach to all individuals and families experiencing homelessness across your geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The focus of the CoC is on those least likely to engage with services and are least likely receiving community supports. This means staff have low client to staff ratio and focus on those least likely to connect with housing focused resources themselves. The outreach teams conduct housing assessments and forge strong relationships with the clients they are working with. The outreach teams all have handheld tablets to assist them in tracking their caseloads, updating HMIS information easily to ensure coordination of services is seamless. The CoC has seven formal outreach teams operating via Coordinated Outreach: one focused on youth ages 24 and under, two focused on people with behavioral health symptoms, and four focused on general population of people experiencing homelessness. The VA also has an outreach team. All teams cover the full CoC geographic area and street outreach operates seven days a week between all teams.

1C-12.	Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—Written Agreements with Early Childhood Services Providers.	
--------	---	--

Select 'Yes' or 'No' in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC or HUD-funded projects in the CoC have written agreements with the listed providers of educational supports and services for children ages 0-5:

		Written Agreement
1.	Child Care (including Child Care and Development Fund)	Yes
2.	Early Childhood Providers	Yes
3.	Early Head Start	Yes
4.	Home Visiting Program (including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)	Yes
5.	Head Start	Yes
6.	Healthy Start	No
7.	Public Pre-K	Yes
8.	Tribal Home Visiting Program	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)	
9.		

1C-12a.	Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—Formal Partnerships with Schools and Youth Education Providers.	
---------	---	--

Select 'Yes' or 'No' in the chart below to indicate the youth education providers your CoC has a formal partnership with:

1.	McKinney-Vento Liaison(s) (including State Education Agency (SEA) or Local Education Agency (LEA))	Yes
2.	School District(s)	Yes
3.	General Education Development (GED) Program(s)	No
4.	Community College(s)	Yes
5.	Technical College(s)	No
6.	Other Post-Secondary Education Provider(s)	Yes

1C-12b.Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—Informing Individuals and Families who Become Homeless about Eligibility for Educational Services.

Describe your CoC's written policies and procedures to inform individuals and families who become homeless of their eligibility for educational services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Projects that serve households with children are required to ensure regular update of information on the educational liaison that will ensure children are enrolled in school and connected to appropriate services in the community. This includes early childhood programming, Head Start, and McKinney-Vento Educational Services. Projects that serve households with children are also expected to incorporate the following within the service model and/or through policies and procedures, as appropriate: A case management model that includes developmentally appropriate intake and service planning for each member of the family; Services are provided where the child is living or the project provides space for home-based, early childhood services; Facilitation of on-site development screening for all children that enter the program; Actively assist families in accessing child care options; Assess, track, and monitor the health of children in the program, including providing connection to health care providers. These expectations are reviewed through the annual CoC evaluation process and monitoring and are included in the CoC Written Standards.

1C-12c. Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—Coordination with Foster Care or Child Welfare Services.

Describe how your CoC coordinates with foster care or child welfare services to assist youth transitioning from public systems of care.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC participates in a statewide initiative to reduce youth and young adult homelessness. Development of close partnership with Child Welfare services is a key component of this work. The CoC has both formalized partnerships and informal partnerships with our local Department of Children, Youth and Family Services (DCYF) that include an ROI process for information sharing between CE and DCYF staff to increase communication and support for young people exiting the foster care system. In addition, the CoC CE Lead partners closely with local and statewide DCYF office to provide trainings on coordinated entry process and resources available for youth and families.

1C-12d. Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—Coordination with Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)-funded Providers.

Describe how your CoC coordinates with RHY-funded providers including Street Outreach Programs, Basic Center Programs, Transitional Living Programs, and Maternity Group Homes where such providers exist.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC coordinates closely with Janus Youth Programs, the sole provider of RHY services in Clark County. Janus operates both a RHY-funded Street Outreach Program (SOP) and a Basic Center Program (BCP). No RHY-funded Transitional Living Programs or Maternity Group Homes exist in Clark County. Through coordinated referral processes, outreach coordination, community provider meetings, and shared HMIS infrastructure, the CoC and Janus work together to connect RHY to appropriate services and to coordinate resources addressing youth homelessness. The CoC also coordinates outreach activities with Janus through the community's Coordinated Outreach Workgroup. The workgroup coordinates outreach efforts and maps outreach locations to maximize visibility and reach young people at highest risk of sexual abuse, exploitation, and trafficking, including sex and labor trafficking. The CoC further coordinates with Janus through regular community provider meetings, which provide opportunities to highlight new or changing programs, promote networking among service providers, and collaboratively identify and address gaps or challenges in the local homeless response system.

Janus receives referrals via CE of RHY under 18 to their BCP shelter and under age 22 to their SOP. The BCP provides a comprehensive array of federally mandated services for RHY under age 18, including 24/7 shelter access for up to 21 days, a staffed 24/7 crisis line, gateway services, crisis intervention, screening and assessment, coordinated case management, transportation, individual, group, and family counseling, connections to education and employment resources, transition planning, family mediation and reunification, and aftercare. The SOP uses a team-based, mobile service delivery model to meet basic emergency needs and provide crisis support and intervention to RHY under age 22. The SOP is specifically designed to facilitate referrals and access to off-street services that help youth move toward stable housing and independence, while also providing aftercare and follow-up services. Janus also refers adults and families experiencing homelessness to CE for connection to adult and family housing support. These referral pathways help ensure that individuals and families can access the appropriate systems of care.

1C-13. Collaboration Related to Families with Children—TH or RRH Project Dedicated to Serving Families with Children.

Identify at least one Rapid Rehousing (RRH) or Transitional Housing (TH) project in the CoC that primarily or exclusively serves families with children experiencing homelessness in accordance with 24 CFR 578.93(b).

(limit 500 characters)

The CoC has one TH program, Open House Ministries, that specifically focuses on serving families with children.

The CoC has one RRH program, Homeless Student Stability Program (HSSP), that exclusively serves families with children.

1C-13a. Collaboration Related to Families with Children—Supportive Services to Improve Income to Pay Rent.

Describe how the project(s) identified in 1C-13 provides supportive services focused on improving incomes to pay rent, such as workforce development, job training, or registered apprenticeship programs.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The entire CoC membership receives annual training on workforce development programs, including updates and refresher on programs available, qualifications for each program, and how to access the services. In addition, several CoC agencies, including the CE lead agency, have formalized partnership with one or more of the workforce development agencies to provide regular services to participants on site for participants to access services and case management support.

Open House Ministries, which provides TH to families with children, is a faith-based organization. They provide strong support for families to demonstrate personal responsibility and ownership of their finances, including increasing their income.

All of the CoC's RRH programs, including HSSP, operate in close partnership with local school districts. Through this partnership, program staff work closely with school-based staff to provide wraparound supports, including financial skill development, childcare supports, and workforce development that focuses on career development.

1C-13b. Collaboration Related to Families with Children—Leveraging Funding from Mainstream Family Service Systems.

Describe how the project(s) identified in 1C-13 leverages funding from mainstream family service systems, such as Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF).

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC trains program staff annually on mainstream benefits available to program participants, including SNAP, SSI, SSDI, and TANF. These trainings are provided to Open House Ministries and HSSP.

RRH staff, including HSSP staff, work closely with local DCYF staff to ensure all families being served are accessing resources available to them, including TANF and SNAP. All staff are trained to assist in completing SOAR applications when the need is identified.

1C-13c. Collaboration Related to Families with Children—Combining Housing Assistance with Parental Support.

Describe how the project(s) identified in 1C-13 combines housing assistance with childcare, parenting support, pregnancy-related and child healthcare, and education.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC operates the Homeless Student Stability Program (HSSP), a state-funded program that combines partnership with local school districts, coordinated entry services, and other nonprofits that serve families with children who are experiencing literal or McKinney-Vento homelessness. In this program, school staff will identify families experiencing homelessness or housing stability and refer the families to the CE lead agency, who then work with the family to provide wraparound supports focused on stabilizing their housing situation. This might include increasing income, reduction of barriers, including connection to legal services, applying for childcare services, or identifying housing options that work for the family.

1C-14. Collaboration with Veteran Serving Organizations—Partnership with the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs or Veteran Serving Organization.

Identify at least one partnership the CoC has with the Department of Veterans Affairs or other Veteran Serving Organizations.

(limit 500 characters)

The CoC partners with the local Veteran Affairs office, the local SSVP provider, Clark County Veteran's Assistance Center, and several other veteran focused nonprofits that provide a wide variety of services to veterans including shelter, long term housing, and outreach services. With these partners, the CoC operates a veteran by name list and have seen a 50% reduction in veteran homelessness since beginning the functional zero work.

1C-14a	Collaboration with Veteran Serving Organizations.	
	Select 'Yes' or 'No' in the chart below to indicate whether the partnership(s) identified in 1C-14 does the following:	

1.	Refers veterans identified by the CoC to VA or other Veteran Serving Organizations for assistance?	Yes
2.	Coordinates the provision of emergency shelter, supportive services, and housing for veterans?	Yes
3.	Ensures access to a full spectrum of employment and career pathway opportunities?	Yes
4.	Shares data with the Department of Veterans Affairs as appropriate?	Yes
5.	Identifies service gaps for veterans?	Yes
6.	Fills service gaps for veterans?	Yes

1C-15. Collaboration with Organizations who Address the Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.

Identify at least one partnership your CoC has with victim service providers, state domestic violence coalitions, state sexual assault coalitions, anti-trafficking service providers or other organizations who help provide shelter, housing, and services to individuals and families of persons experiencing trauma or a lack of safety related to fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking.

(limit 500 characters)

YWCA Clark County - YWCA Clark County's Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault Programs provide comprehensive, survivor-centered services for individuals and families impacted by abuse. Services include emergency shelter, supportive housing, advocacy, support groups, safety planning, and referrals for legal assistance. Through trauma-informed care and community partnerships, the programs help survivors achieve safety, stability, and healing. The Domestic Violence High Risk Team collaborates with

1C-16. Collaboration with Justice System Re-entry Partners.

Identify at least one partnership your CoC has to prevent homelessness among people transitioning from prisons, jails, or court-ordered programs.

(limit 500 characters)

Clark County Jail Services – connection to assessment, coordinated outreach, navigation support.

Landing Pad – Resourcing, transportation, peer mentoring, positive environment, friendly staff, healthy skill/goal building, success tools for and all around help for CCJS and courts systems as peer support for recently incarcerated motivated and ready for the future success clients.

1C-17. Collaboration with Partners to Address High Utilizers of Healthcare Systems.

Identify at least one partnership or project your CoC has to provide specialized supportive services for homeless individuals with a high level of medical needs.

(limit 500 characters)

Area Agency on Aging and Disabilities of Southwest Washington

PeaceHealth

Carelon Behavioral Health

1C-18. Collaboration with Partners to Address the Needs of Aging and Elderly Individuals Experiencing Homelessness.

Identify at least one partnership or project your CoC has to serve aging and elderly individuals experiencing homelessness, such as with a provider of residential care, assisted living, or medical respite services.

(limit 500 characters)

Area Agency on Aging and Disabilities of Southwest Washington

Partnership with PeaceHealth local hospital to provide medical respite beds in partnership with several shelters serving families and individuals.

1C-19. Permanent Supportive Housing for Chronically Homeless Individuals and Families—Project that Prioritizes Individuals Experiencing Chronic Homelessness.

Identify at least one Rapid Rehousing (RRH) or Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) project that prioritizes individuals experiencing chronic homelessness.

(limit 500 characters)

All of the CoC's RRH and PSH projects prioritize individuals and families experiencing chronic homelessness: Story Street PSH, Janus PSH, Connections PSH, Way Home Too PSH.

1C-19a. Permanent Supportive Housing for Chronically Homeless Individuals and Families—On-site Behavioral Healthcare.

Describe how the project(s) identified in 1C-19 provides on-site behavioral healthcare.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC provides onsite medical services at 3 PSH sites. Two providers offer behavioral health services at two PSH buildings and Share, a local housing provider, providing onsite case management services on site in two of the buildings. Sea Mar offers onsite substance use disorder treatment for Story Street PSH. The properties also have Service Coordinators (local housing authority employees) at all PSH sites that have offices and provide the basic case management services to residents, including identifying residents in need of additional supports, reduced supports, or gaps in service delivery. In addition, the PSH site base properties have several MOUs in place for onsite case management serves with local behavioral health providers.

In addition to adult focused programing, the CoC has two youth focused buildings providing PSH services. A local youth provider offers onsite case management services.

FCS is a Medicaid program in WA state and has a clinical eligibility as well as a medical necessity component for ongoing eligibility.

1C-19b. Permanent Supportive Housing for Chronically Homeless Individuals and Families—Assesses Need for Higher Level of Care.

Describe how the project(s) identified in 1C-19 has a policy for assessing program participant need for higher level of care (i.e., assisted living, residential treatment).

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC has adopted a transfer policy that helps outline a participant's need for a higher level of care. This form includes case manager steps taken to address any identified gaps in services. While this tool is currently used to assess needs for PSH households, the CoC is also working to adopt a model that will help identify households needing more intensive supports across the program types, including Transitional Housing, Rapid Rehousing, and Permanent Supportive Housing.

In addition to identifying if the current program type is suitable, the transfer form also considers if services outside of the HCRS would be more appropriate. For example, if a participant is no longer able to meet their Activity of Daily Living (ADLs), the request for transfer to a higher level of care would include documentation of what steps have been taken to help the participant meet their needs as well as details on what needs are still not being met.

1C-19c. Permanent Supportive Housing for Chronically Homeless Individuals and Families—Assesses Readiness for Moving On.

Describe how the project(s) identified in 1C-19 has a policy for assessing program participant readiness for moving on to unsubsidized or other permanent housing.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC is in the process of formalizing the process to identify individuals no longer in need of supportive housing services. As a part of this process, case managers receive training on how to identify individuals and families needing a higher level of care and also includes how to identify individuals and families no longer needing supportive services. Areas of focus for identifying households no longer needing supportive services include the evaluation of the following areas; employment, healthcare, including ability to meet ADLs, and strong natural support systems.

1D. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- Frequently Asked Questions

1D-1.	Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. We use the response to this question along with the required attachment as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
-------	---	--

You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.

Select 'Yes' or 'No' in the chart below to indicate how your CoC reviewed, rated, and ranked project applications during your local competition:

1.	Established total points available for each project application type.	Yes
2.	At least 50 percent of the total available points were based on objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications.	Yes
3.	At least 25 percent of the total available points were based on system performance measures to review, select and rate project applications.	Yes
4.	Considered returns to homelessness in reviewing, rating, and ranking housing projects (TH, PH-PSH, PH-RRH).	Yes
5.	Considered employment income in reviewing, rating, and ranking housing projects (TH, PH-PSH, PH-RRH).	Yes
6.	Considered supportive service participation requirements in reviewing, rating, and ranking housing projects (TH, PH-PSH, PH-RRH).	Yes

1D-2.	New Proposals.	
	Does your CoC invite new proposals from entities that have not previously received funding, if such eligible entities exist?	Yes

1D-2a.	Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application Before the CoC Program Competition Application Submission Deadline.	
--------	--	--

	Enter the date your CoC posted all parts of the CoC-approved Consolidated Application on the CoC's website or partner's website—which included: 1. the CoC Application, and 2. Project Priority Listings.	09/29/2026
--	---	------------

1D-2b.	Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders by Email that the CoC-Approved Consolidated Application is Posted on the Website.	
--------	--	--

	Enter the date your CoC notified community members and key stakeholders that the CoC-approved Consolidated Application was posted on your CoC's website or partner's website.	09/29/2026
--	---	------------

1D-2c.	Local Competition Selection Results for All Projects.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Selection Results attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.	

	Does your attachment include: 1. Project Names, 2. Project Scores, 3. Project Rank, 4. Project Status—Accepted, Rejected, Reduced Reallocated, Fully Reallocated, 5. Amount Requested from HUD, and 6. Reallocated Funds +/-.	Yes
--	---	-----

1D-2d.	Projects Rejected/Reduced—Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
--------	--	--

1.	Did your CoC reject or reduce any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
2.	If you selected 'Yes' for element 1 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps.	08/06/2026
3.	Did your CoC inform applicants why your CoC rejected or reduced their project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes

1D-2e.	Projects Accepted—Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
--------	--	--

	Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps.	08/06/2026
--	---	------------

1D-3.	Reallocation—Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects.	
-------	--	--

	Describe:
1.	your CoC's reallocation process to reallocate from lower performing projects to create new high performing projects, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed,
2.	whether your CoC identified any low performing or less needed projects through the process described in element 1 of this question during your CoC's local competition this year,
3.	whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year; and
4.	why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.The CoC's Reallocation Process: New projects can be created through reallocation. This allows CoC's to reallocate part or all of a renewal project's funding in order to create one or more new projects that meet both HUD priorities and local needs by improving the CoC's outcomes and reducing homelessness more effectively than the reallocated renewal project.

2.No projects were identified as low performing or less needed through this process. One project was rejected, however. This project was recently transferred to a new agency, but the transfer did not complete in time for the renewal application to be available in esnaps. The project applied in the local competition as a renewal project, but was unable to submit a project application in esnaps. Because of this, the project was ranked last in the Priority Listing and rejected.

3.No projects were reallocated.

4.The CoC did not reallocate any projects because it was not necessary to reallocate funds for any new projects. Only one new project applied, and they applied under the Bonus Funding Type.

1D-3a.	Reallocation Between FY 2021 and FY 2026.	
--------	---	--

	Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2021 and FY 2026?	No
--	--	----

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) and System Performance

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2A-1.	HMIS Vendor.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using.(limit 75 characters)	WellSky
--	---	---------

2A-2.	HMIS Implementation Coverage Area.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Select from dropdown menu your CoC's HMIS coverage area.	Single CoC
--	--	------------

2A-3.	PIT Count—Methodology Change.	
-------	-------------------------------	--

	Describe:
1.	any changes your CoC made to your unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2025 and 2026, if applicable, and
2.	how the changes affected your CoC's PIT count results.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC did not make any changes to the unsheltered PIT count implementation.

2A-4. Reduce Encampments—Actions to Reduce Encampments.

Describe in the field below the actions your CoC took to reduce the number of encampments or the number of people residing in encampments during your evaluation period.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Local jurisdictions and outreach partners within the CoC used coordinated, outreach-centered strategies to reduce encampments and support individuals who are living unsheltered during the evaluation period. Outreach teams provided engagement, assessment, documentation assistance, shelter and service connections, transportation, and ongoing case management, while local jurisdictions retained responsibility for decisions and activities related to public property and encampment closures.

Two large encampments in the City of Vancouver were closed during the evaluation period using a structured, phased approach coordinated through the City's homeless response. Outreach engagement occurred before, during, and after closure activities to identify individual needs, connect residents with available shelter and services, assist with documentation, and provide continued navigation and stabilization support.

These coordinated efforts between jurisdictions, outreach providers, shelter providers, and other community partners contributed to reductions in the number of identified encampments and people residing in them during the evaluation period.

2A-4a.	Reduce Encampments—Number of Encampments.	
--------	---	--

1. Enter the estimated number of encampments in the beginning of your evaluation period.	12
2. Enter the reduction in the number of encampments during your evaluation period.	2

2A-4b.	Reduce Encampments—Number of People in Encampments.	
--------	---	--

1. Enter the estimated number of people in encampments in the beginning of your evaluation period.	360
2. Enter the reduction in the number of people in encampments during your evaluation period.	45

2A-4c.	Reduce Encampments—Plans to Reduce Encampments.
--------	---

If there has been no reduction in encampments or persons in encampments in your CoC, describe the plans your CoC has to participate in efforts to reduce these numbers.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC will continue supporting an outreach-centered approach to reducing unsheltered homelessness and resolving encampments by strengthening coordination among local jurisdictions, outreach providers, shelters, and housing partners. Local jurisdictions will continue to determine how encampments on property within their authority are addressed, while outreach partners provide engagement, assessment, service navigation, shelter connections, documentation assistance, transportation, and warm handoffs before, during, and after closure activities when appropriate.

CoC partners will work to improve information sharing and coordination across jurisdictions, identify effective practices and recurring challenges, and strengthen referral pathways to available shelter, housing, diversion, and other resources. Improved coordination and data collection can also help partners better understand changes in encampment locations and populations and identify gaps in available resources.

2A-5. Reducing the Number of First Time Homeless—CoC's Plan.

Describe your CoC's plan to decrease the number of individuals and families becoming homeless for the first time.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC examines risk factors such as income level and mainstream benefits access, as well as household access to other social services (e.g. food pantries). In addition to programs serving individuals experiencing homelessness, the CoC collects data for anti-poverty programs in HMIS such as community service centers, food pantries, local public utilities, allowing us to identify risk factors in the community through available data. The CoC conducts a community Needs Assessment every three years to determine community needs, including housing. The CoC worked to expand local prevention dollars and access available in the community to provide Prevention and Diversion services and also worked to expand access to affordable housing stock for families struggling to keep up with increasing rents.

2A-6. Reducing Length of Time Homeless—CoC's Plan.

Describe your CoC's plan to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC's local screening tool includes length of time experiencing homelessness as a vulnerability factor. In addition, the CoC has focused on increasing staffing support for households in shelter, with particular focus on housing navigation support to ensure households are able to work on addressing and reducing housing barriers while in shelter. In particular, Housing Navigators work closely with households and landlords, developing strong relationships to ensure the household has long term stability once housed. In addition, the increase of Coordinated Outreach efforts in the CoC has increased wraparound supports for households who are less likely to engage with services and has shown successful outcomes for many chronically homeless households in the community. The CoC's HMIS team updates a system dashboard each month with information captured by the CE team over the past month, including length of homelessness. This information is reviewed by the CoC work groups, including the CoC Steering Committee and Coordinated Outreach teams to ensure prioritization of services and identification of gaps in system services.

2A-7.	Successful Permanent Housing Placement—Exits to Unsubsidized Housing.	
	Based on HMIS data, what percent of program participants exited from TH/RRH/PSH collectively to unsubsidized housing?	39.00%

Describe how you calculated the data for this response.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The HMIS Lead Agency calculated this response by running an HMIS report on all exits from all transitional housing, rapid rehousing, and permanent supportive housing programs in our system for that time-period. They then removed any duplicate exits from clients who had multiple exits during the time-period, keeping only their most recent exit destination. That came to 565 total exits. They then filtered those exit destinations down to just exit destinations that were either "Rental by client, no ongoing housing subsidy," "Staying or living with family, permanent tenure," or "Staying or living with friends, temporary tenure." There were 218 exits of those types. $218/565 = 39\%$

2A-8.	Housing Inventory Count (HIC) and Point-in-Time (PIT) Count Date.	
	Enter the date your CoC conducted its 2026 Housing Inventory Count (HIC) and Point-in-Time (PIT) count.	01/29/2026

2A-8a.	HIC and PIT Count Data—HDX 2.0 Submission Date.	
--------	---	--

	Did your CoC submit its 2026 Housing Inventory Count (HIC) and Point-in-Time (PIT) count data in HDX 2.0 by April 30, 2026, 8:00 p.m. EDT?	Yes
--	--	-----

2A-8b.	Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Data—HDX 2.0 Submission Date.	
	You must upload your CoC's FY 2026 HDX Competition Report to the 4A. Attachments Screen.	

Did your CoC submit at least two usable LSA data files to HUD in HDX 2.0 by January 16, 2026, 11:59 p.m. EST?	Yes
---	-----

2A-8c.	System Performance Measures (SPM) Data—HDX 2.0 Submission Date.	
--------	---	--

	Did your CoC submit its FY 2025 System Performance Measures data in HDX 2.0 by March 4, 2026, 8:00 p.m. EDT?	Yes
--	--	-----

2A-9.	HMIS and Comparable Database Participation—Bed Coverage Rate.	
-------	---	--

Using the FY 2026 HDX Competition Report we issued your CoC, enter data in the chart below by project type:

Project Type	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current Non-VSP Beds	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current VSP Beds	Total Year-Round, Current, HMIS Beds and VSP Beds in an HMIS Comparable Database	HMIS and Comparable Database Coverage Rate
1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds	401	36	437	100.00%
2. Safe Haven (SH) beds	0	0	0	0.00%
3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds	116	11	127	100.00%
4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	287	55	342	100.00%
5. Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds	726	0	726	100.00%
6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH) beds	159	0	159	100.00%

2A-9a.	Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates of 84.99 Percent or Lower for Any Project Type in Question 2A-9.	
--------	--	--

For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is 84.99 percent or lower in question 2A-9, describe:

1.	steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type, and
2.	how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent.

(limit 2,500 characters)

For Project Types present in our CoC, none are below 85% in HMIS bed coverage rate.

3A. Policy Initiatives

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- Frequently Asked Questions

3A-1.	Supporting Activities within an Opportunity Zone.	
	You must upload the HUD-2996 Certification for Opportunity Zone Preference Points attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.	

1.	Will the proposed activities/projects occur within Opportunity Zone Census Tracts?	No
2.	Do you expect to use at least 50% of the proposed activities/projects in Opportunity Zones Census Tracts?	No

3A-2	Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.
------	---

Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes? Yes

3A-2a. Cost Effectiveness of Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.

You must upload the Project List for Other Federal Statutes attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.

You must select Save at the bottom of the form before entering a text response for 3A-2a.

If you answered yes to question 3A-2, describe in the field below:

1. how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in 24 CFR 578.3; and
2. how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act.

(limit 500 characters)

The CoC is committed to providing services to everyone experiencing homelessness within our CoC. To accomplish this goal, the CoC has prioritized programs that serve a wide array of populations and that each agency is able to serve the populations they hold expertise in serving. Janus Youth Programs, our partner providing RRH/PSH services to youth within the CoC, has specific expertise and success in serving youth and young adults. Research demonstrates that experiencing homelessness as a child significantly increases the likelihood of experiencing homelessness as an adult and therefore, our CoC's focus on serving young people, helps our community reduce future reliance of on the homeless response system by providing early interventions.

4A. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

We have provided the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachments and get maximum points:

1. You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete.
2. You must upload an attachment for each document listed where 'Required?' is 'Yes'.
3. We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported—please only use zip files if necessary. Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, often produces higher quality images. Many systems allow you to create PDF files as a Print option. If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or search for information on Google or YouTube.
4. Attachments must match the questions they are associated with.
5. Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process.
6. If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either.
 - . We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).
 - . We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment.
7. After you upload each attachment, use the Download feature to access and check the attachment to ensure it matches the required Document Type and to ensure it contains all pages you intend to include.
8. Only use the 'Other' attachment option to meet an attachment requirement that is not otherwise listed in these detailed instructions.

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
01. 1C-1. List of TH/RRH/PSH Projects and Treatment Providers with On-Site Substance Use Treatment	Yes		
02. 1C-1f. List of CoC Program Projects and Number of Units that require Substance Abuse Treatment	Yes	1C-1f. CoC Projects	09/29/2026
03. 1C-3. Language from Project Supportive Service Agreements	Yes	Supportive Servic...	09/29/2026
04. 1C-10. Evidence of Coordination and Non-Interference with Local Efforts to Advance Public Safety	Yes	Public Safety Coo...	09/29/2026
05. 1D-1. Local Competition Scoring Tool	Yes	Local Competition...	09/29/2026

06. 1D-2c. Local Competition Selection Results	Yes	Local Competition...	09/29/2026
07. 2A-8b. FY 2026 HDX Competition Report	Yes	HDX Competition R...	09/29/2026
08. 3A-1. HUD-2996 Certification for Opportunity Zone Preference Points	No		
09. 3A-2a. Project List for Other Federal Statutes	No		
10. 3A-DP. Your CoC's Policy or Statement to Advance Recovery	No		
11. Other	No	Local Competition...	09/29/2026

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1C-1f. CoC Projects

Attachment Details

Document Description: Supportive Service Agreement

Attachment Details

Document Description: Public Safety Coordination - police vouchers

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Scoring Tool

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Selection Results

Attachment Details

Document Description: HDX Competition Report

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Scored Renewal App example

Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

Page	Last Updated
1A. CoC Identification	09/28/2026
1B. Coordination and Engagement–Accountable Structure and Participation	09/29/2026
1C. Coordination and Engagement	09/29/2026
1D. Project Review/Ranking	09/29/2026
2A. HMIS Implementation	09/29/2026
3A. Policy Initiatives	09/28/2026
4A. Attachments Screen	Please Complete
Submission Summary	No Input Required

WA 508 CoC Program Projects

- SafeChoice Transitional Housing for Survivors of Domestic Abuse
 - 0 units require Substance Abuse Treatment
- Way Home Too
 - 0 units require Substance Abuse Treatment
- DV Bonus Renewal
 - 0 units require Substance Abuse Treatment
- HMIS
 - 0 units require Substance Abuse Treatment
- Connections
 - 0 units require Substance Abuse Treatment
- Janus Permanent Supportive Housing
 - 0 units require Substance Abuse Treatment
- Story Street Permanent Supportive Housing
 - 0 units require Substance Abuse Treatment

SHARE Affordable Housing and Stability Program

Program Participation Agreement

I, _____, understand and agree to participate on the “Affordable Housing and Stability Program” and I am making a **choice** to participate in this program.

This program is designed to assist your household in moving towards self-sufficiency and housing stability. By choosing to participate in the program, I agree to the following:

_____ **1. INCOME VERIFICATION:** Participants will provide copies of their income (paycheck stubs, TANF, SSI letters, etc.) to their case managers on a regular basis. Participants will notify their case manager of changes in status (such as income and family size). This is to determine continued eligibility on the program.

_____ **2. DSHS:** Participants will be advised to apply for financial, medical and/or food stamp benefits.

_____ **3. LANDLORD/TENANT:** Participants understand that they are responsible to follow all rules and regulations set forth by their landlord/tenant rental agreement. Participants also understand that they are to give their case manager any notices that they receive from their landlord.

_____ **4. RENT & UTILITY PAYMENTS:** Participants agree to pay their portion of their rent and utilities by the date stated on their rental agreement. Participants agree to provide receipts of payments to their case manager by the 10th of each month. The rental assistance that Share is providing is contingent upon the participant paying their portion of the rent.

_____ **5. CASE MANAGEMENT:** Participants recognize that meetings with their case manager are to be a minimum of monthly. This can also be more frequent depending on the needs of the household.

_____ **6. HOUSING STABILITY PLAN:** While on the program, case managers can assist participants in developing a Housing Stability Plan (HSP). Both the participants and their case manager will work together on creating the plan. This plan will consist of time-based, short and long-term goals, resources, and referrals that the household and case manager will be working on. The overall goal of the plan is to have it as a tool for moving towards self-sufficiency and housing stability.

_____ **7. ACCESS TO UNIT:** Participants will allow program staff to enter their unit for one of the following reasons: safety checks, inspections and routine check-ins. Please see *Authorization to Enter* form.

I have received a copy of this agreement. I understand that noncompliance with this agreement may put my program eligibility at risk. I understand that I can communicate with program staff about any concerns with this agreement.

Participant Name and Signature: _____

Participant Name and Signature: _____

Staff Name and Signature: _____ Date: _____



Policies and Procedures

FOR

Emergency Motel Voucher Program

Last Update 02/15/22

Table of Contents

1. Overview.....	1
2. Purpose	1
3. Program Access and Eligibility	1
Housing Status Eligibility	2
“But for” Determination.....	3
Vulnerability Screening	3
Priority Populations	3
Client Fraud and Program Bans	4
4. Documentation of Eligibility and Data Tracking	4
5. Allowable Expenses and Damages	4
6. Termination of Participation, Applicant Denial, and Grievance.....	5
7. Confidentiality of Client Records.....	6
8. Reasonable Accommodation.....	6
9. Violence against Women’s Act (VAWA)	6

1. Overview

The Council for the Homeless' Housing Solutions Center (HSC) is a one-stop access point for people who are experiencing homelessness or are at risk of homelessness to connect with emergency shelter, homelessness prevention and housing programs in Clark County

The Council for the Homeless Emergency Motel Voucher Program (Voucher Program) provides people who are homeless and in a priority population a short stay in a motel when they have no access to emergency shelter or other resources. Phone screenings for the Voucher Program can be completed by Housing Hotline specialists M-F between 9am-5pm, and Sat-Sun from 11am-5pm (hours may increase in winter). Additional access points include outreach partners, after hour's police vouchers, and the adult mobile crisis unit.

2. Purpose

The purpose of the *Policies and Procedures* is to:

- ✓ Provide details on who is eligible for the program and how to access the program;
- ✓ Outline program reporting and tracking; and
- ✓ Provide a clear understanding of how the program operates.

3. Program Access and Eligibility

The Voucher Program is accessed by calling the Housing Hotline (360-695-9677). When a potential participant calls the Housing Hotline, staff will determine if the participant is homeless, has no access to any type of shelter or housing, and is in a priority population. If a potential participant is eligible, and there is funding available, and a participating hotel/motel has availability, the family or individual will be placed in a hotel/motel and instructed to call the Housing Hotline the following morning to discuss further options. While the Voucher Program could pay for up to 120 days for an individual or family to stay in a hotel/motel in an extreme situation, a participant is screened through the housing hotline or participating community partners for how many nights they may receive. Typically a stay of 1-5 nights is provided but in specific situations such as if a client has a set move in date and the program is providing a bridge to housing, up to two weeks could be provided in a motel.

The Voucher Program also allows law enforcement officers and the Adult Mobile Crisis Unit through Community Service Northwest (CSNW) to place an individual or family in a participating hotel/motel for one night if the law enforcement officer or CSNW staff comes across a

household in need who fits the criteria for the program during a time Housing Hotline is closed. In these situations, the individual or family is instructed to call the Housing Hotline at 9am Monday through Friday or 11am on the weekends the following morning after a voucher was provided for further assistance. Officers are provided with a Housing Solutions Center voucher authorization form to give to the participating hotel/motel. The form documents the client's name and the officer's or CSNW staff member's name and badge number if applicable. The form is attached to the hotel/motel bill by their staff. This form provides permission to enter client into the HMIS data base for tracking and record keeping.

In addition the Voucher Program allows for participating outreach staff to place an individual or family in a participating hotel/motel for one night if the outreach staff comes across a household in need who fits the criteria for the program. In these situations, the individual or family is instructed to call the Housing Hotline at 9am Monday through Friday or 11am on the weekends the following morning after a voucher was provided for further assistance. Outreach staff are provided with a Housing Solutions Center voucher authorization form to give to the participating hotel/motel. The form documents the client's name and outreach staff member's name. The form is attached to the hotel/motel bill by their staff. This form provides permission to enter client into the HMIS data base for tracking and record keeping.

Housing Status Eligibility

While the Voucher Program does not need to have documentation of housing status given the emergency nature of the program, the staff will verify with the individual or household that they are homeless over the phone using the following definition:

- ✓ An individual or household who lacks a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence, meaning:
 - An individual or household with a primary nighttime residence that is not designed for or ordinarily used as a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings, including a car, park, abandoned building, bus or train station, airport, or camping ground; or
- ✓ An individual who is exiting a system of care:
 - Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility,
 - Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center,
 - Hospital (non-psychiatric),
 - Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility, or
 - Foster care home or foster care group home.

- ✓ Any individual or household who is fleeing, or is attempting to flee, domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, or other dangerous or life-threatening conditions that relate to violence against the individual or a household member, including a child, that has either taken place within the individual's or household's primary nighttime residence or has made the individual or household afraid to return to their primary nighttime residence.

"But for" determination

In determining eligibility for the Voucher Program, staff will determine whether the individual or family, will be without shelter of any kind that evening without the Voucher Program's assistance. If the individual or family, through their own means or through the help of friends, family, or other programs or agencies can access any type of emergency housing, motel/hotel, or double up with others, they will not be eligible for this assistance.

Vulnerability Screening

Emergency Hotel Vouchers are granted to clients that are screened with the motel voucher screening tool which gauges client's vulnerability, the priority populations as listed below are captured with the screening tool. Someone who falls into one or more of the following categories will screen higher utilizing this tool. Priority with the voucher program will be given to those who have the highest vulnerability.

Priority Populations

In determining eligibility for the Voucher Program, staff will determine if the individual or family is part of a priority population, which is defined as:

- ✓ A household that falls into one or more of the following categories:
 - Over 65 years old,
 - Family with young children,
 - High- Risk Pregnancies.
 - Late-term pregnancy,
 - Severely disabled and/or seriously ill,
 - Housing/shelter will become available within a short amount of time, or
 - Recently discharged from one of the following and falls into another priority population:
 - Hospital/mental health institution
 - Prison
 - Foster care

Treatment center
Military service

Client Fraud and Program Bans

If we find any evidence that a program participant has defrauded our organization, we will report to local law enforcement authorities, inform Clark County Department of Community Services, and repay any Consolidated Homeless Grant (CHG) funds expended on behalf of ineligible clients or expenses.

If a household is not allowed to stay at a motel for any reason a second option will be pursued. If a second option does not work out, the motel voucher will be denied. Should a household cause any type of damage or accrue any type of cost owed to the motel while supported by CFTH, the motel/vendor can place households on the motels Do Not Rent List, These will be noted by CFTH so future placements at this motel will be avoided. If significant or excessive property damage or violence occurs at a participating motel the household will no longer be able to access the motel voucher program and a ban will be entered into the HMIS database. Program Bans are evaluated on a case by case basis by Motel Voucher Program Supervisor.

4. Documentation of Eligibility and Data Tracking

When determining eligibility for a potential program participant, staff will ask for permission to enter the individual or family's information into the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS). If permission is given, the household's will be entered into HMIS, including documentation that the household has no other shelter options, and the date(s) that the household stayed in the hotel/motel through the program.

If a household declines to be entered into HMIS, a paper file will be kept which documents that the household has no other shelter options, and the date(s) that the household stayed in the hotel/motel through the program.

If a household enters the Voucher Program through a law enforcement officer, CSNW staff member or outreach provider and is not already in HMIS and does not call the Housing Hotline as instructed, a paper file will be kept which includes any documentation received from the law enforcement officer and a notation that the client did not contact the Housing Hotline.

Hotel/motel invoices associated with the Voucher Program are submitted to the Council for the Homeless administrative office for processing. Each invoice is matched with the corresponding HMIS Client Entry/Exit printout.

5. Allowable Expenses and Damages

Voucher Program expenses are submitted in accordance with rules dictated by the funding source. At this time, the Voucher Program is primarily funded by CHG, HB and ARP funds by contract with the Clark County Department of Community Services. These funds will pay for:

- ✓ Hotel/Motel vouchers for up to 120 days are allowable if unsheltered clients if no other shelter options are available and applicable vulnerability has been determined.
- ✓ Staff costs to determine eligibility for the Voucher Program, enter data into HMIS for the Voucher Program, and recruit and maintain motels and hotels that participate in the Voucher Program.
- ✓ Each partner hotel is provided with \$2,500.00 annually for any damages that occur. Partner hotels will need to submit an invoice listing the damages and an invoice for the cost of repair. Invoices will be submitted to the CFTH administrative office for processing.

6. Termination of Participation, Applicant Denial, and Grievance

Written termination, grievance and denial policies are available to applicants and participants in the Voucher Program; however, because the interaction occurs over the phone copies of the policies are only available if the participant comes to the Housing Solutions Center office, has a working email address, or has a mailing address for it to be mailed to.

- ✓ Termination of Participation
 - The Voucher Program provides vouchers based on vulnerability. If significant damage or violence was to occur participants can be placed on the do not rent list by the partner hotel. Depending on the nature or egregiousness of the ban placed by the motel/hotel partner a ban can be placed by CFTH for the voucher program.
- ✓ Denial

Causes of denial of assistance include, but are not limited to, the household's ineligibility or failure to provide verifiable evidence of eligibility, lack of funding, motel/hotel being unwilling to work with applicant, previous fraud or misuse of voucher program, or existing motel partners or CFTH bans for the voucher program.

If a household is denied assistance the Voucher Program will:

- Notify the household that they are being denied;

- Inform the household of the reason for the denial; and
- And provide the household with the opportunity to speak with the Housing Solutions Center Director or Executive Director if the household disagrees with the denial and would like to discuss it further.

✓ **Grievance**

If a household expresses verbally or in writing that they have a grievance of any sort with the Voucher Program to any Council for the Homeless employee, the household will be provided the opportunity to speak with the Housing Solutions Center Director or Executive Director to discuss, and hopefully resolve, the grievance. Staff will track the number of grievances received regarding the Voucher Program and the resolution of each grievance.

7. Confidentiality of Client Records

The Voucher Program maintains client records in a confidential manner as per RCW 43.185C.030 and written files pertaining to households are kept under lock and key with designated staff granted access to those files.

8. Reasonable Accommodation

Households have the right to request reasonable accommodation if they have a disability. Clients can request a RA through phone via the housing hotline. If the client does not have a phone they can utilize the hotel room's phone. The Housing Hotline Supervisor will look over any requests within 24 hours of receiving them Monday-Friday. Due to the nature of the motel voucher program and clients having to exit the program when the voucher ends all efforts will be made to look over reasonable accommodation requests before household exits the motel.

9. Violence against Women's Act (VAWA)

The Violence against Women Act (VAWA) provides protections for victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking. VAWA protections are not only available to women, but are available equally to all individuals regardless of sex, gender identity, or sexual orientation. Households in the Emergency Motel Voucher Program can request to move motels if the current placement is unsafe and they have experienced domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking. Safe placement for households is the goal for Council for the Homeless.

CLARK COUNTY CONINUUM OF CARE PROJECT RANKING CRITERIA

FY 2026 Renewal Project Scorecard

Scored by:

Agency		
Project Name		
Threshold Requirements: minimum requirements that must be met to receive HUD funding	Yes	No
Does the applicant have a valid Unique Entity ID and is the SAM registration active? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible applicant</i>		
Is the applicant willing and able to accept all referrals from coordinated entry (Housing Solutions Center)? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible applicant</i>		
Is the applicant willing and able to enter all required data related to this project in to Clark County HMIS (or equivalent for DV providers)? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible applicant</i>		
Has the agency had a professional CPA audit conducted at least three of the last four years? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible applicant</i>		
Is the agency in the process of resolving and/or have any unresolved HUD monitoring findings? If answered yes, does the agency describe the findings and date of resolution or anticipated resolution? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes with clear description of resolution = possible ineligible applicant; Yes without resolution = ineligible applicant</i>		
Does the agency have outstanding or delinquent federal debt? If yes, does the agency describe a negotiated repayment schedule? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes with repayment schedule = possibly ineligible applicant; Yes with no repayment schedule = ineligible applicant</i>		
Has the agency ever been debarred or suspended from doing business with the federal government? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes = ineligible applicant</i>		
Does the agency have any unresolved civil rights matters? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes = ineligible applicant</i>		
Is the agency able to provide at least 25% in-kind or cash match? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible applicant</i>		
If awarded, will the agency engage in any illegal racial discrimination? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes = ineligible applicant</i>		
If awarded, will the agency operate drug injection sites or safe consumption sites, knowingly permit the use or distribution of illicit drugs on property under their control, or knowingly distribute drug paraphernalia? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes = ineligible applicant</i>		
If awarded, will the agency comply with federal laws and funding requirements? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible application</i>		
Based off the responses, does this appear to be an eligible applicant?		

Project Questions	Priority Area	Possible Score	Given Score
-------------------	---------------	----------------	-------------

23. Does the agency collaborate with persons with lived experience? Do they incorporate feedback in program development and are able to provide examples of positive impacts of collaborating with persons with lived experience? Does the agency board of directors include representation from persons with lived experience of homelessness? <i>5 points = two to three detailed, meaningful examples of receiving and incorporating feedback from people with lived experience, has representation from at least two persons with lived experience in leadership positions;</i> <i>3 points = at least one meaningful example of collaborating with persons with lived experience, has representation from one person with lived experience;</i> <i>1 point = has not yet done this and describes plan to moving forward.</i>	Persons with Lived Experience	5	
24. Does the agency have experience working with the target population(s) that aligns with their agency's guiding values? Do they demonstrate participant success securing and maintaining permanent housing or increased participant safety? <i>5 points = clearly demonstrated data showing incremental, multi-year success increasing permanent housing and/or participant safety;</i> <i>2 points = shared one clear success increasing permanent housing and/or participant safety, saw an overall decrease in permanent housing rates but specified a plan to resolve;</i> <i>0 points = not tracking data or no clear plan to increase permanent housing stability or safety. Projects that previously served target populations of DV Survivors or Youth but will not serve that population going forward score 0 points.</i>	Experience working with Target Population	5	
25. Does the agency provide participants with low barrier services while maintaining program participation and increased safety? <i>5 points = clearly demonstrated success with providing participants with low barrier services while maintaining participation and increasing safety. Methods for achieving these metrics clearly demonstrated;</i> <i>2 points = demonstrated some success with low barrier services but did not demonstrate methods for maintaining program participation or increasing safety;</i> <i>0 points = success providing low barrier services, maintaining participation, or increasing safety is unclear. Methods for improving these metrics not demonstrated.</i>	Low Barrier Service Support	5	
26. Does the agency demonstrate participant success increasing income, economic independence, and self-sufficiency? <i>7 points = demonstrates success increasing self-sufficiency, including one to two examples and over 15% of participants increasing income in the last project year;</i> <i>5 points = describes one to two successes and percent of participants increasing income in the last year was 12% or more;</i> <i>3 points = lists one success and percent increasing income is between 1% - 12%;</i> <i>0 points = success increasing income is unclear and 0% of participants increased income in the last year.</i>	System Performance Measures - Increasing Self-Sufficiency	7	

27. Does the agency coordinate with mainstream health, behavioral health, social service, and employment programs? Are participants connected to benefits for which they may be eligible? Have formal commitments been made? - Addiction and recovery services - Mental and physical health care systems - The criminal justice system - Domestic violence services - Other publicly-funded housing unit production or development - Employment supports <i>5 points = at least 5 integration examples from different focus areas above with formal commitments;</i> <i>3 points = three integration examples from different focus areas and formal commitments;</i> <i>1 point = one integration example or many examples all from the same focus area (e.g. physical health or dental), or no formal commitments have been made.</i>	Partnering with Housing, Health, and Service Agencies	5	
28. Does the project coordinate with first responders and law enforcement to advance public safety and support project success? <i>5 points = demonstrates clear strategies for collaboration with first responders and law enforcement in ways that support project success. One to two examples of collaboration with first responders and/or law enforcement.</i> <i>3 points = demonstrates ability to coordinate with first responders and law enforcement but strategies for supporting project success with collaboration unclear;</i> <i>0 points = unable to coordinate with first responders or law enforcement. No or unclear strategies.</i>	Partnering with First Responders and Law Enforcement	5	
29. Does the agency provide support services to participants that lead to identifying and retaining permanent housing? Do they use innovative strategies that meet the unique needs of program households? How many participants maintained housing or exited to permanent housing destinations? <i>10 points = four to five specific person-centered and well connected examples (e.g. SOAR, intensive case management, diversion), 95% or more of participants maintained or exited to permanent housing;</i> <i>7 points = two to three reasonable strategies, at least 90% of participants maintained permanent housing status;</i> <i>3 points = one to two reasonable strategy, at least 85% of participants maintained permanent housing status;</i> <i>0 points = no or unclear strategies and/or less than 85% of participants maintained or exited to permanent housing.</i>	System Performance Measures - Reducing Homelessness	10	
30. Was the APR for the most recently completed program year submitted on time to HUD? <i>Answer of Yes = 1 point; No = 0 points.</i>	Ability to Administer Grant	1	
31. Did the agency spend out grant funds in the last contract term? <i>2 points = spent out 95% or more of grant funds;</i> <i>1 point = spent out between 90% - 95% of grant funds and has clear plan to increase spending;</i> <i>0 points = spent under 90% of grant funds.</i>	Ability to Manage Funds	2	

32. Does the agency have administrative capacity to implement a successful CoC program? Do they have experience implementing a full, successful program while complying with federal regulations? <i>3 points = gives clear, specific examples of agency capacity to implement project at full capacity while complying with regulations;</i> <i>1 point = non-specific or unclear statements of capacity.</i>	Ability to Administer Grant	3	
33. Is the agency an active member in local CoC meetings? <i>2 points = agency staff regularly attend at least two different CoC meetings and serve in a leadership capacity (e.g. Chair) in at least one;</i> <i>1 point = staff attend one CoC meeting, it is unclear how frequently</i> <i>0 points = staff infrequently attend CoC meetings and do not serve in leadership capacity.</i>	Participation in CoC	2	
TOTAL POINTS		50	0

CLARK COUNTY CONINUUM OF CARE PROJECT RANKING CRITERIA

FY 2024 New Project Scorecard

Scored by:

Agency

Project Name

Threshold Requirements: minimum requirements that must be met to receive HUD funding	Yes	No
Does the applicant have a valid Unique Entity ID and is the SAM registration active? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible applicant</i>		
Is the applicant willing and able to accept all referrals from coordinated entry (Housing Solutions Center)? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible applicant</i>		
Is the applicant willing and able to enter all required data related to this project in to Clark County HMIS? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible applicant</i>		
Has the agency had a professional CPA audit conducted at least three of the last four years? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible applicant</i>		
Is the agency in the process of resolving and/or have any unresolved HUD monitoring findings? If answered yes, does the agency describe the findings and date of resolution or anticipated resolution? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes with clear description of resolution = possible ineligible applicant; Yes without resolution = ineligible applicant</i>		
Does the agency have outstanding or delinquent federal debt? If yes, does the agency describe a negotiated repayment schedule? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes with repayment schedule = possibly ineligible applicant; Yes with no repayment schedule = ineligible applicant</i>		
Has the agency ever been debarred or suspended from doing business with the federal government? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes = ineligible applicant</i>		
Does the agency have any unresolved civil rights matters? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes = ineligible applicant</i>		
Is the agency able to provide at least 25% in-kind or cash match? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible applicant</i>		
If awarded, will the agency engage in any illegal racial discrimination? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes = ineligible applicant</i>		
If awarded, will the agency operate drug injection sites or safe consumption sites, knowingly permit the use or distribution of illicit drugs on property under their control, or knowingly distribute drug paraphernalia? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes = ineligible applicant</i>		
If awarded, will the agency comply with federal laws and funding requirements? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible application</i>		
Based off the responses, does this appear to be an eligible applicant?		

Project Questions	Priority Area	Possible Score	Given Score
-------------------	---------------	----------------	-------------

23. Does the agency collaborate with persons with lived experience? Do they incorporate feedback in program development and are able to provide examples of positive impacts of collaborating with persons with lived experience? Does the agency board of directors include representation from persons with lived experience of homelessness? <i>5 points = two to three detailed, meaningful examples of receiving and incorporating feedback from people with lived experience, has representation from at least two persons with lived experience in leadership positions;</i> <i>3 points = at least one meaningful example of collaborating with persons with lived experience, has representation from one person with lived experience;</i> <i>1 point = has not yet done this and describes plan to moving forward.</i>	Persons with Lived Experience	5	
24. Does the agency have experience working with the target population(s) that aligns with their agency's guiding values? Do they demonstrate participant success securing and maintaining permanent housing or increased participant safety? <i>5 points = clearly demonstrated data showing incremental, multi-year success increasing permanent housing and/or participant safety;</i> <i>2 points = shared one clear success increasing permanent housing and/or participant safety, saw an overall decrease in permanent housing rates but specified a plan to resolve.</i> <i>0 points = not tracking data or no clear plan to increase permanent housing stability or safety. Projects that previously served target populations of DV Survivors or Youth but will not serve that population going forward score 0 points.</i>	Experience working with Target Population	5	
25. Does the agency provide participants with low barrier services while maintaining program participation and increased safety? <i>5 points = clearly demonstrated success with providing participants with low barrier services while maintaining participation and increasing safety. Methods for achieving these metrics clearly demonstrated;</i> <i>2 points = demonstrated some success with low barrier services but did not demonstrate methods for maintaining program participation or increasing safety;</i> <i>0 points = success providing low barrier services, maintaining participation, or increasing safety is unclear. Methods for improving these metrics not demonstrated.</i>	Low Barrier Service Support	5	
26. Does the project include a plan for participants increasing income, economic independence, and self-sufficiency? <i>7 points = describes at least two realistic strategies to increase participant self-sufficiency and anticipated number of participants to increase income in the first year is more than 15%;</i> <i>3 points = lists one strategy and percent increasing income is between 1% - 15%;</i> <i>0 points = strategy to increase income is unclear and/or does not anticipate participants increasing self-sufficiency or income.</i>	System Performance Measures - Increasing Self-Sufficiency	7	
27. Does the agency coordinate with mainstream health, behavioral health, social service, and employment programs? Will participants be connected to benefits for which they may be eligible? Have formal commitments been made? - Addiction and recovery services - Mental and physical health care systems - The criminal justice system - Domestic violence services - Other publicly-funded housing unit production or development - Employment supports <i>5 points = at least 5 integration examples from different focus areas above with formal commitments;</i> <i>3 points = three integration examples from different focus areas and formal commitments;</i> <i>1 point = one integration example or many examples all from the same focus area (e.g. physical health or dental), or no formal commitments have been made.</i>	Partnering with Housing, Health, and Service Agencies	5	

28. Does the project coordinate with first responders and law enforcement to advance public safety and support project success? <i>5 points = demonstrates clear strategies for collaboration with first responders and law enforcement in ways that support project success. One to two examples of collaboration with first responders and/or law enforcement.</i> <i>3 points = demonstrates ability to coordinate with first responders and law enforcement but strategies for supporting project success with collaboration unclear;</i> <i>0 points = unable to coordinate with first responders or law enforcement. No or unclear strategies.</i>	Partnering with First Responders and Law Enforcement	5	
29. Does the agency provide support services to participants that lead to identifying and retaining permanent housing? Do they use innovative strategies that meet the unique needs of program households? <i>7 points = four to five specific person-centered and well connected examples (e.g. SOAR, intensive case management, diversion), clearly illustrates a deep understanding of the unique needs of participants, innovative and impactful strategies to promote exits to permanent housing;</i> <i>5 points = two to three specific person-centered examples, illustrates a reasonable understanding of the unique needs of participants, strategies to prevent exits to homelessness or promote exits to permanent housing;</i> <i>3 points = one to two reasonable strategies, describes needs of households;</i> <i>0 points = no or unclear strategies, it is unclear if applicant understands the unique needs of participants, and/or prevention of exits to homelessness is not a primary goal.</i>	System Performance Measures - Reducing Homelessness	7	
30. Does the agency have experience meeting funding outcome and reporting requirements? <i>2 points = several years experience meeting funding requirements and clear strategies to meet the project's performance measures;</i> <i>0 points = less than two years experience meeting funding requirements and/or strategies to meet performance measures are unclear/non-specific.</i>	Ability to Administer Grant	2	
31. Does the agency have experience and success spending public funds in a timely manner? <i>2 points = has several years experience managing public funds and cites specific strategies to spendout funds within the grant term;</i> <i>1 point = has less than two years experience managing public funds and unspecific strategies to timely spendout;</i> <i>0 points = no experience managing public funds and/or does not list clear strategies to spendout funds within grant term.</i>	Ability to Manage Funds	2	
31. Does the agency have administrative capacity to implement a successful CoC program? Do they have experience working with federal grants and complying with federal regulations? <i>5 points = several years experience with federal grants and gives clear, specific examples of agency capacity to implement project at full capacity while complying with regulations;</i> <i>2 point = less than two years experience with federal grants, non-specific or unclear statements of capacity.</i> <i>0 points = little to no experience with federal grants, no strategies for complying with federal regulations or statements of capacity.</i>	Ability to Administer Grant	5	
32. Is the agency an active member in local CoC meetings? <i>2 points = agency staff regularly attend at least two different CoC meetings and serve in a leadership capacity (e.g. Chair) in at least one;</i> <i>1 point = staff attend one CoC meeting but it is unclear how frequently, does not currently attend CoC meetings but is knowledgeable and enthusiastic with plan to begin participation;</i> <i>0 points = staff infrequently attend CoC meetings and do not serve in leadership capacity, no clear plan of CoC involvement.</i>	Participation in CoC	2	

TOTAL POINTS	50	0
--------------	----	---

CLARK COUNTY FY2026 PROJECT PRIORITY LISTING

Field Office:	Seattle
CoC Number:	WA 508
CoC Name:	Vancouver/Clark County CoC
Collaborative Applicant:	Council for the Homeless
CoC ARD:	\$2,207,291
Tier I:	\$1,324,375
DV ARD	\$151,787

Applicant and Project Information

FY 2024 Ranking	Applicant Name	Project Name	Component Type	New or Renewal	Project Status	Request Amount	Project Score
Tier I Projects							
1	Council for the Homeless	HMIS	HMIS	Renewal	Accepted	\$ 174,355	45.67
2	YWCA Clark County	SafeChoice TH for Survivors of Domestic Abuse	TH	New	Accepted	\$ 261,465	44.33
3	Council for the Homeless	DV Bonus	SSO	Renewal	Accepted	\$ 151,787	40.33
4	Share	Story Street PSH	PH	Renewal	Accepted	\$ 736,768	38.67
Tier I Funding Request						\$ 1,324,375	
Tier II Projects							
4	Share	Story Street PSH	PH	Renewal	Accepted	\$ 256,194	38.67
5	Janus Youth	Janus PSH	PH	Renewal	Accepted	\$ 259,633	37
6	Janus Youth	Connections - Janus PSH	PH	Renewal	Accepted	\$ 229,609	36.67
7	Council for the Homeless	Way Home Too PSH	PH	Renewal	Rejected	\$ 137,480	45
Tier II Funding Request						\$ 882,916	
Total CoC Funding Request						\$ 2,207,291	

2026 HDX Competition Report

This workbook contains summary information about your CoC's data as it was entered into the HDX for your use as part of the 2026 Competition.

To Print this Workbook:

This document has been configured as printable with preset print areas of relevant sections. To print it, go to "File", then "Print", then select "Print Entire Workbook" or "Print Active Sheets" depending on your needs.

To Save This Workbook as a PDF:

Click the "File" Tab, then click "Save As" or "Save a Copy", then click "Browse" or "More Options" then select "PDF", click "Options", select "Entire Workbook", press "OK", and click "Save". These instructions may differ depending on your version of Microsoft Excel.

On Accessibility, Navigability, and Printability:

This workbook attempts to maximize accessibility, navigability, printability, and ease of use. Merged cells have been avoided. All tables and text boxes have been given names. Extraneous rows and columns outside printed ranges have been hidden.

For Questions:

If you have questions, please reach out to HUD via the "Ask a Question" page, <https://www.hudexchange.info/program-support/my-question/> and choose "HDX" as the topic.

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - Summary

WA-508 - Vancouver/Clark County CoC

HDX Data Submission Participation Information

Government FY and HDX Module Abbreviation	Met Module Deadline*	Data From	Data Collection Period in HDX
2025 LSA	Yes	Government FY 2025 (10/1/24 - 9/30/25).	November 2025 to January 2026
2025 SPM	Yes	Government FY 2025 (10/1/24 - 9/30/25).**	February 2026 to March 2026
2026 HIC	Yes	Government FY 2026. Exact HIC and PIT dates vary by CoC. For most CoCs, it was within the last 10 days of January, 2026.	March 2026 to April 2026
2026 PIT	Yes	Government FY 2026. Exact HIC and PIT dates vary by CoC. For most CoCs, it was within the last 10 days of January, 2026.	March 2026 to April 2026

- 1) FY = Fiscal Year
- 2) *This considers all extensions where they were provided.
- 3) **"Met Deadline" in this context refers to FY25 SPM submissions. Resubmissions from FY24 (10/1/2023 - 9/30/2024) were also accepted during the data collection period, but the previous year's submission is voluntary.

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - LSA Summary & Usability Status

WA-508 - Vancouver/Clark County CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

LSA Usability Status 2025

Category	EST AO	EST AC	EST CO	RRH AO	RRH AC	RRH CO	PSH AO	PSH AC*	PSH CO
Fully Usable	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	N/A	☒
Partially Usable								N/A	
Not Usable								N/A	

Glossary: EST = Emergency Shelter, Save Haven, & Transitional Housing; RRH = Rapid Re-housing; PSH = Permanent Supportive Housing; AO = Persons in Households without Children; AC = Persons in Households with at least one Adult and one Child; CO=Persons in Households with only Children
*Usability was not determined for PSH-AC due to built-in conflicts between HOMES and HMIS. As

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

WA-508 - Vancouver/Clark County CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Measure 1: Length of Time Persons Remain Homeless

This measures the number of clients active in the report date range across ES, SH (Metric 1.1) and then ES, SH and TH (Metric 1.2) along with their average and median length of time homeless. This includes time homeless during the report date range as well as prior to the report start date, going back no further than the look back stop date or client's date of birth, whichever is later.

Metric 1.1: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES and SH projects.

Metric 1.2: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES, SH, and TH projects.

a. This measure is of the client’s entry, exit, and bed night dates strictly as entered in the HMIS system.

Metric	Universe (Persons)	Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)	Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)
1.1 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, and SH	1,881	155.8	35.0
1.2 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, and TH	2,247	226.5	71.0

b. This measure is based on data element 3.917

This measure includes data from each client’s Living Situation (Data Standards element 3.917) response as well as time spent in permanent housing projects between Project Start and Housing Move-In. This information is added to the client’s entry date, effectively extending the client’s entry date backward in time. This “adjusted entry date” is then used in the calculations just as if it were the client’s actual entry date.

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

WA-508 - Vancouver/Clark County CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Metric	Universe (Persons)	Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)	Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)
1.1 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, and PH (prior to “housing move in”)	2,121	953.8	440.0
1.2 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH, and PH (prior to “housing move in”)	2,482	975.9	483.0

Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness for Persons who Exit to Permanent Housing (PH) Destinations

This measures clients who exited SO, ES, TH, SH or PH to a permanent housing destination in the date range two years prior to the report date range. Of those clients, the measure reports on how many of them returned to homelessness as indicated in the HMIS for up to two years after their initial exit.

	Total # of Persons Exited to a PH Destination (2 Yrs Prior)	Returns to Homelessness in Less than 6 Months (0 - 180 days)	Returns to Homelessness from 6 to 12 Months (181 - 365 days)	Returns to Homelessness from 13 to 24 Months (366 - 730 days)	Number of Returns in 2 Years				
Metric	Count	Count	% of Returns	Count	% of Returns	Count	% of Returns	Count	% of Returns
Exit was from SO	74	10	13.5%	2	2.7%	2	2.7%	14	18.9%

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

WA-508 - Vancouver/Clark County CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Exit was from ES	384	31	8.1%	19	5.0%	34	8.9%	84	21.9%
Exit was from TH	55	5	9.1%	2	3.6%	0	0.0%	7	12.7%
Exit was from SH	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Exit was from PH	341	7	2.1%	13	3.8%	19	5.6%	39	11.4%
TOTAL Returns to Homelessness	854	53	6.2%	36	4.2%	55	6.4%	144	16.9%

Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons

Metric 3.1 – Change in PIT Counts

Please refer to PIT section for relevant data.

Metric 3.2 – Change in Annual Counts

This measures the change in annual counts of sheltered homeless persons in HMIS.

Metric	Value
Universe: Unduplicated Total sheltered homeless persons	2,278
Emergency Shelter Total	1,906
Safe Haven Total	0
Transitional Housing Total	401

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

WA-508 - Vancouver/Clark County CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Measure 4: Employment and Income Growth for Homeless Persons in CoC Program-funded Projects

This measure is divided into six tables capturing employment and non-employment income changes for system leavers and stayers. The project types reported in these metrics are the same for each metric, but the type of income and universe of clients differs. In addition, the projects reported within these tables are limited to CoC-funded projects.

Metric 4.1 – Change in earned income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	24
Number of adults with increased earned income	0
Percentage of adults who increased earned income	0.0%

Metric 4.2 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	24
Number of adults with increased non-employment cash income	5

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

WA-508 - Vancouver/Clark County CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income	20.8%
---	-------

Metric 4.3 – Change in total income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	24
Number of adults with increased total income	5
Percentage of adults who increased total income	20.8%

Metric 4.4 – Change in earned income for adult system leavers

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	16
Number of adults who exited with increased earned income	1
Percentage of adults who increased earned income	6.3%

Metric 4.5 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system leavers

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

WA-508 - Vancouver/Clark County CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	16
Number of adults who exited with increased non-employment cash income	3
Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income	18.8%

Metric 4.6 – Change in total income for adult system leavers

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	16
Number of adults who exited with increased total income	4
Percentage of adults who increased total income	25.0%

Measure 5: Number of Persons who Become Homeless for the First Time

This measures the number of people entering the homeless system through ES, SH, or TH (Metric 5.1) or ES, SH, TH, or PH (Metric 5.2) and determines whether they have any prior enrollments in the HMIS over the past two years. Those with no prior enrollments are considered to be experiencing homelessness for the first time.

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

WA-508 - Vancouver/Clark County CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Metric 5.1 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, and TH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

Metric	Value
Universe: Person with entries into ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH or TH during the reporting period.	1,730
Of persons above, count those who were in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.	508
Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time)	1,222

Metric 5.2 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, TH, and PH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

Metric	Value
--------	-------

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

WA-508 - Vancouver/Clark County CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Universe: Person with entries into ES, SH, TH or PH during the reporting period.	2,091
--	-------

Of persons above, count those who were in ES, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.	681
---	-----

Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time.)	1,410
--	-------

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

WA-508 - Vancouver/Clark County CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Measure 6: Homeless Prevention and Housing Placement of Persons defined by category 3 of HUD’s Homeless Definition in CoC Program-funded Projects

Measure 6 is not applicable to CoCs in this reporting period.

Measure 7: Successful Placement from Street Outreach and Successful Placement in or Retention of Permanent Housing

This measures positive movement out of the homeless system and is divided into three tables: movement off the streets from Street Outreach (Metric 7a.1); movement into permanent housing situations from ES, SH, TH, and RRH (Metric 7b.1); and retention or exits to permanent housing situations from PH (other than PH-RRH).

Metric 7a.1 – Change in SO exits to temp. destinations, some institutional destinations, and permanent housing destinations

Metric	Value
Universe: Persons who exit Street Outreach	269
Of persons above, those who exited to temporary & some institutional destinations	86
Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations	82

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

WA-508 - Vancouver/Clark County CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

% Successful exits	62.5%
--------------------	-------

Metric 7b.1 – Change in ES, SH, TH, and PH-RRH exits to permanent housing destinations

Metric	Value
Universe: Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH and PH-RRH who exited, plus persons in other PH projects who exited without moving into housing	1,733
Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations	505
% Successful exits	29.1%

Metric 7b.2 – Change in PH exits to permanent housing destinations or retention of permanent housing

Metric	Value
--------	-------

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

WA-508 - Vancouver/Clark County CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Universe: Persons in all PH projects except PH-RRH who exited after moving into housing, or who moved into housing and remained in the PH project	763
Of persons above, those who remained in applicable PH projects and those who exited to permanent housing destinations	741
% Successful exits/retention	97.1%

System Performance Measures Data Quality

Data coverage and quality will allow HUD to better interpret your SPM submissions.

Metric	All ES, SH	All TH	All PSH, OPH	All RRH	All Street Outreach
Unduplicated Persons Served (HMIS)	1,937	402	831	611	264
Total Leavers (HMIS)	1,565	154	85	274	140
Destination of Don't Know, Refused, or Missing (HMIS)	333	18	7	20	26

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

WA-508 - Vancouver/Clark County CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Destination Error Rate (Calculated)	21.3%	11.7%	8.2%	7.3%	18.6%
-------------------------------------	-------	-------	------	------	-------

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - SPM Notes

WA-508 - Vancouver/Clark County CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Notes For Each SPM Measure

Measure		Notes
Measure 1	No notes.	
Measure 2	No notes.	
Measure 3	No notes.	
Measure 4	No notes.	
Measure 5	No notes.	
Measure 6	No Notes. Measure 6 was not applicable to CoCs in this reporting period.	
Measure 7	No notes.	
Data Quality	No notes.	

2026 Competition Report - HIC Summary
WA-508 - Vancouver/Clark County CoC
For HIC conducted in January/February of 2026

HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

Project Type	Total Year-Round, Current Beds	Total Year-Round, Current Non-VSP Beds in HMIS or Comparable Database	Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP Beds	Removed From Denominator: OPH EHV ¹ Beds or Beds Affected by Natural Disaster*	Adjusted*** Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP Beds	Adjusted*** HMIS Bed Coverage Rate for Year-Round, Current Beds	Total Year-Round, Current, VSP Beds in an HMIS-Comparable Database	Total Year-Round, Current, VSP Beds	Removed From Denominator: OPH EHV ¹ Beds or Beds Affected by Natural Disaster**	Adjusted*** Total Year-Round Current, VSP Beds	HMIS Comparable Bed Coverage Rate for VSP Beds	Total Year-Round, Current, HMIS Beds and VSP Beds in an HMIS-Comparable Database	Adjusted*** Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP and VSP Beds	HMIS and Comparable Database Coverage Rate
ES	437	401	401	0	401	100.0%	36	36	0	36	100.00%	437	437	100.00%
SH	0	0	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	0	NA	0	0	NA
TH	127	116	116	0	116	100.0%	11	11	0	11	100.00%	127	127	100.00%
RRH	342	287	287	0	287	100.0%	55	55	0	55	100.00%	342	342	100.00%
PSH	726	726	726	0	726	100.0%	0	0	0	0	NA	726	726	100.00%
OPH	159	159	159	0	159	100.0%	0	0	0	0	NA	159	159	100.00%
Total	1,791	1,689	1,689	0	1,689	100.0%	102	102	0	102	100.00%	1,791	1,791	100.00%

2026 Competition Report - HIC Summary

WA-508 - Vancouver/Clark County CoC

For HIC conducted in January/February of 2026

- 1) † EHV = Emergency Housing Voucher
- 2) * This column includes Current, Year-Round, Natural Disaster beds not associated with a VSP that are not HMIS-participating. For OPH Beds, this includes beds that are Current, Non-HMIS, and EHV-funded.
- 3) ** This column includes Current, Year-Round, Natural Disaster beds associated with a VSP that are not HMIS-participating or HMIS-comparable database participating. For OPH Beds, this includes beds that are Current, VSP, Non-HMIS, and EHV-funded.
- 4) *** Adjusted bed counts exclude non-HMIS participating OPH EHV Beds and Beds Affected by Natural Disaster
- 5) Data included in these tables reflect what was entered into HDX 2.0.
- 6) In the HIC, "Year-Round Beds" is the sum of "Beds HH w/o Children", "Beds HH w/ Children", and "Beds HH w/ only Children". This does not include Overflow ("O/V Beds") or Seasonal Beds ("Total Seasonal Beds").
- 7) In the HIC, "Current" beds are beds with an "Inventory Type" of "C" and not beds that are Under Development ("Inventory Type" of "U").
- 8) For historical data: Aggregated data from CoCs that merged are not displayed if HIC data were created separately - that is, only data from the CoC into which the merge occurred are displayed. Additional reports can be requested via AAQ for any CoCs that have been subsumed into other CoCs.

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - PIT Summary

WA-508 - Vancouver/Clark County CoC

For PIT conducted in January/February of 2026

Submission Information

Date of PIT Count	Received HUD Waiver
1/29/2026	Not Applicable

Total Population PIT Count Data

Category	2024	2025	2026
PIT Count Type	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count
Emergency Shelter Total	483	560	478
Safe Haven Total	0	0	0
Transitional Housing Total	214	228	123
Total Sheltered Count	697	788	601
Total Unsheltered Count	669	742	659
Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count*	1,366	1,530	1,260

- 1) *Data included in this table reflect what was entered into HDX 2.0. This may differ from what was included in federal reports if the PIT count type was sheltered only.
- 2) Aggregated data from CoCs that merged is not displayed if PIT data were entered separately - that is, only data from the CoC into which the merge occurred are displayed. Additional reports can be requested via AAQ for any CoCs that have been subsumed into other CoCs.

Total People Experiencing Chronic Homelessness

Category	2024	2025	2026
PIT Count Type	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count
Total Sheltered Count	226	308	228
Total Unsheltered Count	117	131	139
Total	343	439	367

View results

Respondent

6

Anonymous

04:41

Time to complete

Agency details

1. Agency Name *

Council for the Homeless

2. Applicant Name *

Grace DeBiccari

3. Applicant Email *

gdebiccari@councilforthehomeless.org

4. SAM Unique Entity ID *

XEMKCPSGME73

5. SAM Unique Entity ID Expiration Date

9/1/2026

6. EIN/TIN/DUNS Number *

91-2001828

HUD Threshold Requirements

Requirements that must be met to receive HUD funding

7. Is your agency willing to accept all referrals from the local coordinated entry (Housing Solutions Center) to this proposed project? *

☒ Yes

☐ No

8. Is your agency willing and able to enter all required data related to this project in the Clark County Homeless Management Information System (HMIS)?

☒ Yes

☐ No

9. Has your agency had a professional Certified Public Accountant (CPA) audit conducted at least three times in the last four years? *

☒ Yes

☐ No

10. Please list which years the audits encompass. Type "N/A" if your agency has not had an audit conducted. *

2025, 2024, 2023

11. Is your agency in the process of resolving and/or have any unresolved HUD audit or monitoring findings? If yes, please list findings and their status. *

no

12. Does your agency have any outstanding or delinquent federal debt? If yes, please briefly describe approved repayment arrangements. *

no

13. Has your agency ever been debarred or suspended from doing business with the federal government?

no

14. Does your agency have any unresolved civil rights matters? *

no

15. Is your agency able to provide at least 25% in-kind or cash match, if your project is awarded? *

yes

16. If awarded, will your agency engage in any illegal racial discrimination? *

☐ Yes

☒ No

17. If awarded, will your agency operate drug injection sites or safe consumption sites, knowingly permit the use or distribution of illicit drugs on property under your control, or knowingly distribute drug paraphernalia? *

☐ Yes

☒ No

18. If awarded, will your agency comply with federal laws and funding requirements? *

☒ Yes

☐ No

Project Questions

19. Project Name *

DV Bonus Renewal

20. Project Type *

☐ Permanent Supportive Housing

☐ HMIS

☒ DV Bonus

21. Project Target Population *

☐ Youth

☐ Seniors (60+)

☒ Survivors of Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and/or Stalking

☐ Families

☐ People who are chronically homeless

☐ People who are literally homeless or at risk of homelessness

22. Please provide a brief, introductory description of your project including engaging participants, program entry, participant support and case management, and program exit. Please include how your agency defines a successful program exit. *

Council for the Homeless (CFTH) operates the DV Bonus program. With these funds, a CFTH staff member is co-located at the YWCA community office 3 days a week, the staff member receives YWCA training for supporting DV survivors, and they meet with individuals who are fleeing DV and seeking housing support. The staff member completes assessments, provides referrals to other CFTH resources, and refers eligible households to housing programs. In addition to supporting a staff member at the YWCA, this position also provides a partial staff member through the housing hotline. This position coordinates assessments at the YWCA, provides support to DV callers, and receives the YWCA training. Both staff members are actively involved in reviewing CFTH practices and policies to increase survivor privacy and safety with all interactions with CFTH.

23. Please describe how the agency collaborates with person with lived experience of homelessness to increase programmatic outcomes and/or increase safety. How does the agency receive and incorporate feedback in program development? Does the agency provide Peer Support services? Does your agency leadership and board of directors include representation from two or more persons with lived homelessness experience? Please provide specific examples on how participant feedback was used to measurably increase programmatic outcomes or program safety. *

CFTH collaborates with people with lived experience both externally in our role as the lead agency of our CoC, and internally with our staff. As leader of the Homeless Crisis Response System (HCRS) in Clark County, CFTH works with the community to define and take actions that prevent and end homelessness. In 2025, we finalized the Local Homeless Housing Plan (LHHP), which was created collaboratively with local service providers, government leaders, by-and-for organizations, and people with lived experience of homelessness and housing instability. Community engagement emphasized trauma-informed practices to collect feedback and operationalize suggestions from community members with lived experience. The resulting 5-year plan charts a coordinated, evidence-based path for Clark County to address homelessness by identifying local needs, assessing system capacity, and setting priorities for action.

Internally, CFTH board and leadership have committed to hiring staff with lived experience. Three current board members have lived experience of homelessness, and five have lived experience of housing instability. Seven members of leadership have lived experience of homelessness. CFTH staff actively recruit staff with lived experience by changing job descriptions to include lived experience as a preference which can be used in lieu of a specific educational background. CFTH leadership provides several opportunities each year for staff to provide feedback on practices across the agency.

All participants accessing services through CFTH are provided with the opportunity to provide feedback on their experience. Feedback received is regularly reviewed and used to improve services. For example, based on participant feedback on the Housing Hotline, we have increased hours to provide additional weekend and evening hours, and decreased morning wait-times by increasing staff coverage and creating a separate phone line for rental assistance calls. Through the Housing Hotline, participants are connected with available shelter, housing assessment opportunities, community partner resources, and information on severe weather events and resources in Clark County.

24. Describe your agency's experience working with the target population(s). How has your agency demonstrated participant success securing and maintaining permanent housing? *

By co-locating a staff member at the YWCA, CFTH is able to provide quick connection to Coordinated Entry (CE) services to survivors of domestic violence while prioritizing safety for survivors who may not be able to safely access the housing hotline or connect with local outreach teams. Staff located at the YWCA receive specialized training to provide support to survivors and also have been working with CFTH to review internal processes to better ensure internal practices prioritize the safety and wellbeing of survivors. The HMIS team works closely with the agencies utilizing HMIS to anonymize any survivor's information so they can access services while retaining anonymity in the HMIS platform. In addition to providing onsite support, CFTH staff attend tabling events at the YWCA to continue to reach out to survivors in multiple methods. 92% of Permanent Support Housing program participants secured or retained permanent housing in 2025. For the DV Bonus project, 44% of all participants who received housing assessments exited to permanent destinations.

25. How does your agency provide participants with low barrier services while maintaining program participation and increased safety? *

CFTH is a low barrier for anyone in Clark County accessing services. There are no requirements to access services beyond currently experiencing homelessness (based off of the HUD definition, including category 4: fleeing domestic violence) or at risk of homelessness (funding dependent). While CFTH staff may refer individuals to programs with higher barriers to access services, we work hard to be transparent about those barriers and only refer individuals who, based on the information provided, would meet those requirements and are comfortable accessing services with that approach. CFTH staff work with all PSH programs to provide transfers to other, more appropriate housing programs, if an individual is at risk of eviction or losing the program support due to a mismatch in level of support provided—this is an effort to decrease evictions and other negative outcomes. As the lead Coordinated Entry (CE) agency, CFTH provides referrals to all agencies participating in CE. Staff receive annual training on de-escalation, trauma-informed care, client-centered support, and motivational interviewing. Anyone in Clark County may call the Housing Hotline, which is open for extended hours, to receive resource navigation assistance.

26. How has your agency demonstrated participant success increasing income, economic independence, and self-sufficiency? Please provide specific examples including percent of project participants who increased their income during the most recently completed project term. *

The HMIS team works regularly with agencies to ensure they are accurately tracking and reporting on services provided, including capturing income at point of entry. Coordinated Entry staff work to include current income for all households being referred to program in HMIS and in referral paperwork. After program entry, referral agency staff then update income and services provided to increase income in HMIS and case files. In addition to tracking income changes, CFTH staff also complete tracking for income for all participants served by coordinated outreach staff and households engaged through diversion programs. CFTH staff work with Partners in Careers, Work Source, and Next Success to provide employment assistance to households needing support. In 2025, nearly 40% of PSH program participants increased their income. Of those exiting programs, nearly 30% increased their income. For the DV Bonus project, 12% of program participants increased their income.

To support self-sufficiency, CFTH can provide wraparound services such as debt counseling and negotiation. Housing staff can help clients communicate with past landlords, collection agencies, or court clerks. They can also help the client set up a payment plan for the debt owed. CFTH staff work closely with local DV provider agency to ensure each participant is provided with resources and supports to increase household self-sufficiency and increase earned income. To accomplish this, CFTH staff provide regular check ins to participant and coordinate closely with Housing Hotline staff and local dv provider staff to continue to provide household resources and supports.

27. How will the project coordinate and integrate with other supportive services (such as health care, behavioral health, substance abuse disorder treatment and recovery, social services, and employment programs)? How will the project leverage supportive service resources, and have formal commitments been made? Please provide specific examples of how your agency has coordinated with these resources in the past. *

CFTH actively works with many agencies and departments in Clark County to connect participants to needed resources and services as identified by the individual. For staff providing case management services, direct referral to partner agencies and services will be provided. For individuals accessing services through the hotline, CFTH staff have partnerships to keep our resource guide up to date and accurate to assist partners and participants in access resources. As the CoC coordinating agency and HMIS lead, CFTH has formal partnership with all 47 agencies in the Clark County Homeless Crisis Response System (HCERS) that enter data into HMIS. These formal partnerships include Clark County Jail Services (CCJS), the YWCA, Lifeline Connections, Sea Mar CSNW, the Homeless Assistance and Resources Team (HART), Area Agency on Aging and Disabilities of SW WA (AAADSW), Clark County Volunteer Lawyers Program (CCVLP), all Clark County school districts, and faith-based partners such as St. Paul Lutheran Church and Living Hope Church. Specific examples of partnerships include:

- CCVLP: CCVLP works with CFTH on an official level to provide program participants with legal assistance to remove barriers to stable housing and connect participants with eviction prevention services and supportive services.
- AAADSW: AAADSW supports CFTH's Bridge to Stability program, which funds a CFTH Care and Engagement Coordinator who acts as a case manager for Clark County adults aged 60 and older and adults with disabilities who are experiencing or at risk of homelessness. CFTH also refers eligible clients to AAADSW for supportive services.
- Faith-based partners: CFTH partners with local churches for support in our annual Point in Time (PIT) Count and coordinate with church-operated shelters. This includes The WHAT, Clark County's only single woman shelter. As leader of the Severe Weather Task Force, CFTH coordinates winter shelter with St. Andrew Lutheran Church and St. Paul Lutheran Church and severe weather emergency shelters with Living Hope Church and St. Paul Lutheran Church.
- Lifeline Connections: CFTH coordinates referrals for eligible clients in need of mental health and substance use disorder support.
- Clark County school districts: CFTH partners directly with school districts and school resource centers to identify households experiencing a housing crisis, and then connect families with homelessness diversion and prevention services.

28. How will the project coordinate with first responders and law enforcement to advance public safety and support project success? *

CFTH has a formal partnership with CCJS and local law enforcement agencies. CFTH staff, recently increased from 1.0 FTE to 2.0 FTE, are co-located at the jail to provide housing assessments and connections to resources for participants re-entering the community that need housing and behavioral/mental health support, including survivors of DV. The Clark County CoC Steering Committee prioritizes law enforcement representation and includes them in all conversations around preventing and ending homelessness. CFTH partners with the City of Vancouver HART team and local jurisdictions to operate the Motel Voucher program. The Motel Vouchers operated through law enforcement (LE) partners largely are provided to DV survivors. CFTH staff coordinate with local LE to provide wraparound supports to each person accessing this program, including connection to the local DV provider. We also hold formal partnerships with local law enforcement and first responders to help conduct street outreach, complete the Point In Time (PIT) Count, and to coordinate and provide health, safety, and sanitation services. As the leader of the Clark County Severe Weather Task Force, we also partner with law enforcement, first responders, and local jurisdictions to disperse information on heating and cooling centers and emergency shelters during severe weather events. Before a severe weather event is called, they are notified by CFTH via community alert and we partner with them to coordinate response efforts and make sure individuals and families experiencing homelessness are able to access shelter locations.

29. What type of support services will be offered to program participants that will ensure households successfully identify and retain permanent housing? What innovative strategies does your agency use to meet the unique needs of households assisted in the program? Did any participants exit the program and, if so, what percent exited to permanent housing destinations in the last program year? Please use specific examples and data where possible. *

Our HMIS team works with all CoC agencies to ensure accurate tracking of services and referrals provided to participants. Periodically, the HMIS team reviews service entry options to ensure the information is accurate and best captures services available in the community. Based on HMIS system-wide data, PSH exits to permanent housing sit at 92%.

In addition to HMIS tracking of services, CFTH also provides training to the CoC membership and staff on SOAR certification, peer support best practices, de-escalation, diversion services, dv best practices and interventions, and motivational interviewing. Internally, CFTH staff work closely with households both in person at the local DV provider community office, in shelters, and over the phone to provide support during assessment and in any follow up conversations. During the referral process staff work to accurately collect information on what services and barriers to housing the participant has. Housing Assessments are completed using the Community Assessment for Resilience and Excellence (CARE) tool. This innovative, person-centered tool helps CFTH staff understand each household's unique needs to ensure long-term success. The tool collects information on program participants' housing history and supportive service needs while also collecting the perspective of the staff completing the assessment. The tool was developed with input from the YWCA to ensure it was survivor-centered and trauma-informed to ensure information is gathered that helps staff prioritize participant safety.

Relevant participant information is provided to the referring agency, who then begin to work to address those barriers with the household. Diversion and Outreach staff also work closely with participants on their case load to provide support in addressing barriers to housing and provide long term stability. For example, Diversion staff work with households to address previous evictions with landlords through debt negotiation services or reasonable accommodation requests. By reducing barriers to housing, CFTH staff increase the number of households exiting to permanent housing.

30. Was the Annual Performance Report (APR) for the most recently completed program year submitted on time to HUD? *

☐ Yes

☒ No

31. Did your agency spend out grant funds in the last contract term? Please share the percentage of grant funds expended. If below 95%, please share your plan for increasing spending. *

Last contract period, CFTH spend roughly 50% of the DV bonus funds. During this time, staff were developing a programmatic approach to better meet the needs of survivors and increase coordination with the YWCA. In the coming fiscal year, CFTH staff anticipate fully spending the funds and more effectively meeting community needs with the changes taken to the program.

32. Provide a brief narrative describing your agency's administrative capacity (i.e. finance staff, HMIS) to implement a successful HUD CoC program. What is your agency's experience and success working with federal grants? Please include information about compliance with federal regulations, ability to draw down all funds, and ability to keep beds and/or program participation full while complying with federal regulations. *

CFTH has a finance team that is overseen by the Chief Financial Officer. The CFO has 4 years' experience working with nonprofits to meet funding requirements and specifically the HUD financial requirements. In addition, the finance team has a certified accounts payable coordinator. All financial policies are regularly reviewed by the CFO, the board finance committee, and provide monthly reports to the larger CFTH board for review. Each year, CFTH completes an audit with an outside agency, including a review of HUD funding.

33. Please describe how your agency is an active member in local CoC meetings. What CoC meetings does your agency attend? *

Yes, CFTH operates as the lead COC agency and lead HMIS agency. In our role, we facilitate or actively participate in all COC workgroups, and are if COC participating agencies identify any gaps in current workgroups, are tasked with building up and facilitating any new groups developed.

Submission

Please review your responses and confirm below the information is accurate

34. By typing your name below, you acknowledge the information provided in this application is correct and true.

Grace DeBicari

CLARK COUNTY CONINUUM OF CARE PROJECT RANKING CRITERIA

FY 2026 Renewal Project Scorecard

Scored by: Rater 3

Agency		
Council for the Homeless		
Project Name		
DV Bonus Renewal		
Threshold Requirements: minimum requirements that must be met to receive HUD funding	Yes	No
Does the applicant have a valid Unique Entity ID and is the SAM registration active? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible applicant</i>	X	
Is the applicant willing and able to accept all referrals from coordinated entry (Housing Solutions Center)? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible applicant</i>	X	
Is the applicant willing and able to enter all required data related to this project in to Clark County HMIS (or equivalent for DV providers)? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible applicant</i>	X	
Has the agency had a professional CPA audit conducted at least three of the last four years? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible applicant</i>	X	
Is the agency in the process of resolving and/or have any unresolved HUD monitoring findings? If answered yes, does the agency describe the findings and date of resolution or anticipated resolution? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes with clear description of resolution = possible ineligible applicant; Yes without resolution = ineligible applicant</i>		X
Does the agency have outstanding or delinquent federal debt? If yes, does the agency describe a negotiated repayment schedule? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes with repayment schedule = possibly ineligible applicant; Yes with no repayment schedule = ineligible applicant</i>		X
Has the agency ever been debarred or suspended from doing business with the federal government? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes = ineligible applicant</i>		X
Does the agency have any unresolved civil rights matters? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes = ineligible applicant</i>		X
Is the agency able to provide at least 25% in-kind or cash match? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible applicant</i>	X	
If awarded, will the agency engage in any illegal racial discrimination? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes = ineligible applicant</i>		X
If awarded, will the agency operate drug injection sites or safe consumption sites, knowingly permit the use or distribution of illicit drugs on property under their control, or knowingly distribute drug paraphernalia? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes = ineligible applicant</i>		X
If awarded, will the agency comply with federal laws and funding requirements? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible application</i>	X	
Based off the responses, does this appear to be an eligible applicant?	X	

Project Questions	Priority Area	Possible Score	Given Score
-------------------	---------------	----------------	-------------

23. Does the agency collaborate with persons with lived experience? Do they incorporate feedback in program development and are able to provide examples of positive impacts of collaborating with persons with lived experience? Does the agency board of directors include representation from persons with lived experience of homelessness? <i>5 points = two to three detailed, meaningful examples of receiving and incorporating feedback from people with lived experience, has representation from at least two persons with lived experience in leadership positions;</i> <i>3 points = at least one meaningful example of collaborating with persons with lived experience, has representation from one person with lived experience;</i> <i>1 point = has not yet done this and describes plan to moving forward.</i>	Persons with Lived Experience	5	5
24. Does the agency have experience working with the target population(s) that aligns with their agency's guiding values? Do they demonstrate participant success securing and maintaining permanent housing or increased participant safety? <i>5 points = clearly demonstrated data showing incremental, multi-year success increasing permanent housing and/or participant safety;</i> <i>2 points = shared one clear success increasing permanent housing and/or participant safety, saw an overall decrease in permanent housing rates but specified a plan to resolve;</i> <i>0 points = not tracking data or no clear plan to increase permanent housing stability or safety. Projects that previously served target populations of DV Survivors or Youth but will not serve that population going forward score 0 points.</i>	Experience working with Target Population	5	4
25. Does the agency provide participants with low barrier services while maintaining program participation and increased safety? <i>5 points = clearly demonstrated success with providing participants with low barrier services while maintaining participation and increasing safety. Methods for achieving these metrics clearly demonstrated;</i> <i>2 points = demonstrated some success with low barrier services but did not demonstrate methods for maintaining program participation or increasing safety;</i> <i>0 points = success providing low barrier services, maintaining participation, or increasing safety is unclear. Methods for improving these metrics not demonstrated.</i>	Low Barrier Service Support	5	5
26. Does the agency demonstrate participant success increasing income, economic independence, and self-sufficiency? <i>7 points = demonstrates success increasing self-sufficiency, including one to two examples and over 15% of participants increasing income in the last project year;</i> <i>5 points = describes one to two successes and percent of participants increasing income in the last year was 12% or more;</i> <i>3 points = lists one success and percent increasing income is between 1% - 12%;</i> <i>0 points = success increasing income is unclear and 0% of participants increased income in the last year.</i>	System Performance Measures - Increasing Self-Sufficiency	7	6

27. Does the agency coordinate with mainstream health, behavioral health, social service, and employment programs? Are participants connected to benefits for which they may be eligible? Have formal commitments been made? - Addiction and recovery services - Mental and physical health care systems - The criminal justice system - Domestic violence services - Other publicly-funded housing unit production or development - Employment supports <i>5 points = at least 5 integration examples from different focus areas above with formal commitments;</i> <i>3 points = three integration examples from different focus areas and formal commitments;</i> <i>1 point = one integration example or many examples all from the same focus area (e.g. physical health or dental), or no formal commitments have been made.</i>	Partnering with Housing, Health, and Service Agencies	5	5
28. Does the project coordinate with first responders and law enforcement to advance public safety and support project success? <i>5 points = demonstrates clear strategies for collaboration with first responders and law enforcement in ways that support project success. One to two examples of collaboration with first responders and/or law enforcement.</i> <i>3 points = demonstrates ability to coordinate with first responders and law enforcement but strategies for supporting project success with collaboration unclear;</i> <i>0 points = unable to coordinate with first responders or law enforcement. No or unclear strategies.</i>	Partnering with First Responders and Law Enforcement	5	5
29. Does the agency provide support services to participants that lead to identifying and retaining permanent housing? Do they use innovative strategies that meet the unique needs of program households? How many participants maintained housing or exited to permanent housing destinations? <i>10 points = four to five specific person-centered and well connected examples (e.g. SOAR, intensive case management, diversion), 95% or more of participants maintained or exited to permanent housing;</i> <i>7 points = two to three reasonable strategies, at least 90% of participants maintained permanent housing status;</i> <i>3 points = one to two reasonable strategy, at least 85% of participants maintained permanent housing status;</i> <i>0 points = no or unclear strategies and/or less than 85% of participants maintained or exited to permanent housing.</i>	System Performance Measures - Reducing Homelessness	10	8
30. Was the APR for the most recently completed program year submitted on time to HUD? <i>Answer of Yes = 1 point; No = 0 points.</i>	Ability to Administer Grant	1	0
31. Did the agency spend out grant funds in the last contract term? <i>2 points = spent out 95% or more of grant funds;</i> <i>1 point = spent out between 90% - 95% of grant funds and has clear plan to increase spending;</i> <i>0 points = spent under 90% of grant funds.</i>	Ability to Manage Funds	2	0

32. Does the agency have administrative capacity to implement a successful CoC program? Do they have experience implementing a full, successful program while complying with federal regulations? <i>3 points = gives clear, specific examples of agency capacity to implement project at full capacity while complying with regulations;</i> <i>1 point = non-specific or unclear statements of capacity.</i>	Ability to Administer Grant	3	3
33. Is the agency an active member in local CoC meetings? <i>2 points = agency staff regularly attend at least two different CoC meetings and serve in a leadership capacity (e.g. Chair) in at least one;</i> <i>1 point = staff attend one CoC meeting, it is unclear how frequently</i> <i>0 points = staff infrequently attend CoC meetings and do not serve in leadership capacity.</i>	Participation in CoC	2	2
TOTAL POINTS		50	43

CLARK COUNTY CONINUUM OF CARE PROJECT RANKING CRITERIA

FY 2026 Renewal Project Scorecard

Scored by: Rater 2

Agency		
Council for the Homeless		
Project Name		
DV Bonus		
Threshold Requirements: minimum requirements that must be met to receive HUD funding	Yes	No
Does the applicant have a valid Unique Entity ID and is the SAM registration active? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible applicant</i>	X	
Is the applicant willing and able to accept all referrals from coordinated entry (Housing Solutions Center)? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible applicant</i>	X	
Is the applicant willing and able to enter all required data related to this project in to Clark County HMIS (or equivalent for DV providers)? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible applicant</i>	X	
Has the agency had a professional CPA audit conducted at least three of the last four years? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible applicant</i>	X	
Is the agency in the process of resolving and/or have any unresolved HUD monitoring findings? If answered yes, does the agency describe the findings and date of resolution or anticipated resolution? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes with clear description of resolution = possible ineligible applicant; Yes without resolution = ineligible applicant</i>		X
Does the agency have outstanding or delinquent federal debt? If yes, does the agency describe a negotiated repayment schedule? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes with repayment schedule = possibly ineligible applicant; Yes with no repayment schedule = ineligible applicant</i>		X
Has the agency ever been debarred or suspended from doing business with the federal government? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes = ineligible applicant</i>		X
Does the agency have any unresolved civil rights matters? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes = ineligible applicant</i>		X
Is the agency able to provide at least 25% in-kind or cash match? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible applicant</i>	X	
If awarded, will the agency engage in any illegal racial discrimination? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes = ineligible applicant</i>		X
If awarded, will the agency operate drug injection sites or safe consumption sites, knowingly permit the use or distribution of illicit drugs on property under their control, or knowingly distribute drug paraphernalia? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes = ineligible applicant</i>		X
If awarded, will the agency comply with federal laws and funding requirements? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible application</i>	X	
Based off the responses, does this appear to be an eligible applicant?	X	

Project Questions	Priority Area	Possible Score	Given Score
-------------------	---------------	----------------	-------------

23. Does the agency collaborate with persons with lived experience? Do they incorporate feedback in program development and are able to provide examples of positive impacts of collaborating with persons with lived experience? Does the agency board of directors include representation from persons with lived experience of homelessness? <i>5 points = two to three detailed, meaningful examples of receiving and incorporating feedback from people with lived experience, has representation from at least two persons with lived experience in leadership positions;</i> <i>3 points = at least one meaningful example of collaborating with persons with lived experience, has representation from one person with lived experience;</i> <i>1 point = has not yet done this and describes plan to moving forward.</i>	Persons with Lived Experience	5	4
24. Does the agency have experience working with the target population(s) that aligns with their agency's guiding values? Do they demonstrate participant success securing and maintaining permanent housing or increased participant safety? <i>5 points = clearly demonstrated data showing incremental, multi-year success increasing permanent housing and/or participant safety;</i> <i>2 points = shared one clear success increasing permanent housing and/or participant safety, saw an overall decrease in permanent housing rates but specified a plan to resolve;</i> <i>0 points = not tracking data or no clear plan to increase permanent housing stability or safety. Projects that previously served target populations of DV Survivors or Youth but will not serve that population going forward score 0 points.</i>	Experience working with Target Population	5	4
25. Does the agency provide participants with low barrier services while maintaining program participation and increased safety? <i>5 points = clearly demonstrated success with providing participants with low barrier services while maintaining participation and increasing safety. Methods for achieving these metrics clearly demonstrated;</i> <i>2 points = demonstrated some success with low barrier services but did not demonstrate methods for maintaining program participation or increasing safety;</i> <i>0 points = success providing low barrier services, maintaining participation, or increasing safety is unclear. Methods for improving these metrics not demonstrated.</i>	Low Barrier Service Support	5	4
26. Does the agency demonstrate participant success increasing income, economic independence, and self-sufficiency? <i>7 points = demonstrates success increasing self-sufficiency, including one to two examples and over 15% of participants increasing income in the last project year;</i> <i>5 points = describes one to two successes and percent of participants increasing income in the last year was 12% or more;</i> <i>3 points = lists one success and percent increasing income is between 1% - 12%;</i> <i>0 points = success increasing income is unclear and 0% of participants increased income in the last year.</i>	System Performance Measures - Increasing Self-Sufficiency	7	5

27. Does the agency coordinate with mainstream health, behavioral health, social service, and employment programs? Are participants connected to benefits for which they may be eligible? Have formal commitments been made? - Addiction and recovery services - Mental and physical health care systems - The criminal justice system - Domestic violence services - Other publicly-funded housing unit production or development - Employment supports <i>5 points = at least 5 integration examples from different focus areas above with formal commitments;</i> <i>3 points = three integration examples from different focus areas and formal commitments;</i> <i>1 point = one integration example or many examples all from the same focus area (e.g. physical health or dental), or no formal commitments have been made.</i>	Partnering with Housing, Health, and Service Agencies	5	4
28. Does the project coordinate with first responders and law enforcement to advance public safety and support project success? <i>5 points = demonstrates clear strategies for collaboration with first responders and law enforcement in ways that support project success. One to two examples of collaboration with first responders and/or law enforcement.</i> <i>3 points = demonstrates ability to coordinate with first responders and law enforcement but strategies for supporting project success with collaboration unclear;</i> <i>0 points = unable to coordinate with first responders or law enforcement. No or unclear strategies.</i>	Partnering with First Responders and Law Enforcement	5	5
29. Does the agency provide support services to participants that lead to identifying and retaining permanent housing? Do they use innovative strategies that meet the unique needs of program households? How many participants maintained housing or exited to permanent housing destinations? <i>10 points = four to five specific person-centered and well connected examples (e.g. SOAR, intensive case management, diversion), 95% or more of participants maintained or exited to permanent housing;</i> <i>7 points = two to three reasonable strategies, at least 90% of participants maintained permanent housing status;</i> <i>3 points = one to two reasonable strategy, at least 85% of participants maintained permanent housing status;</i> <i>0 points = no or unclear strategies and/or less than 85% of participants maintained or exited to permanent housing.</i>	System Performance Measures - Reducing Homelessness	10	8
30. Was the APR for the most recently completed program year submitted on time to HUD? <i>Answer of Yes = 1 point; No = 0 points.</i>	Ability to Administer Grant	1	0
31. Did the agency spend out grant funds in the last contract term? <i>2 points = spent out 95% or more of grant funds;</i> <i>1 point = spent out between 90% - 95% of grant funds and has clear plan to increase spending;</i> <i>0 points = spent under 90% of grant funds.</i>	Ability to Manage Funds	2	0

32. Does the agency have administrative capacity to implement a successful CoC program? Do they have experience implementing a full, successful program while complying with federal regulations? <i>3 points = gives clear, specific examples of agency capacity to implement project at full capacity while complying with regulations;</i> <i>1 point = non-specific or unclear statements of capacity.</i>	Ability to Administer Grant	3	3
33. Is the agency an active member in local CoC meetings? <i>2 points = agency staff regularly attend at least two different CoC meetings and serve in a leadership capacity (e.g. Chair) in at least one;</i> <i>1 point = staff attend one CoC meeting, it is unclear how frequently</i> <i>0 points = staff infrequently attend CoC meetings and do not serve in leadership capacity.</i>	Participation in CoC	2	2
TOTAL POINTS		50	39

CLARK COUNTY CONINUUM OF CARE PROJECT RANKING CRITERIA

FY 2026 Renewal Project Scorecard

Scored by: Rater 5

Agency		
Council for the Homeless		
Project Name		
DV Bonus Renewal		
Threshold Requirements: minimum requirements that must be met to receive HUD funding	Yes	No
Does the applicant have a valid Unique Entity ID and is the SAM registration active? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible applicant</i>	X	
Is the applicant willing and able to accept all referrals from coordinated entry (Housing Solutions Center)? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible applicant</i>	X	
Is the applicant willing and able to enter all required data related to this project in to Clark County HMIS (or equivalent for DV providers)? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible applicant</i>	X	
Has the agency had a professional CPA audit conducted at least three of the last four years? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible applicant</i>	X	
Is the agency in the process of resolving and/or have any unresolved HUD monitoring findings? If answered yes, does the agency describe the findings and date of resolution or anticipated resolution? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes with clear description of resolution = possible ineligible applicant; Yes without resolution = ineligible applicant</i>		X
Does the agency have outstanding or delinquent federal debt? If yes, does the agency describe a negotiated repayment schedule? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes with repayment schedule = possibly ineligible applicant; Yes with no repayment schedule = ineligible applicant</i>		X
Has the agency ever been debarred or suspended from doing business with the federal government? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes = ineligible applicant</i>		X
Does the agency have any unresolved civil rights matters? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes = ineligible applicant</i>		X
Is the agency able to provide at least 25% in-kind or cash match? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible applicant</i>	X	
If awarded, will the agency engage in any illegal racial discrimination? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes = ineligible applicant</i>		X
If awarded, will the agency operate drug injection sites or safe consumption sites, knowingly permit the use or distribution of illicit drugs on property under their control, or knowingly distribute drug paraphernalia? <i>No = eligible applicant; Yes = ineligible applicant</i>		X
If awarded, will the agency comply with federal laws and funding requirements? <i>Yes = eligible applicant; No = ineligible application</i>	X	
Based off the responses, does this appear to be an eligible applicant?	X	

Project Questions	Priority Area	Possible	Given
-------------------	---------------	----------	-------

23. Does the agency collaborate with persons with lived experience? Do they incorporate feedback in program development and are able to provide examples of positive impacts of collaborating with persons with lived experience? Does the agency board of directors include representation from persons with lived experience of homelessness? <i>5 points = two to three detailed, meaningful examples of receiving and incorporating feedback from people with lived experience, has representation from at least two persons with lived experience in leadership positions;</i> <i>3 points = at least one meaningful example of collaborating with persons with lived experience, has representation from one person with lived experience;</i> <i>1 point = has not yet done this and describes plan to moving forward.</i>	Persons with Lived Experience	5	5
24. Does the agency have experience working with the target population(s) that aligns with their agency's guiding values? Do they demonstrate participant success securing and maintaining permanent housing or increased participant safety? <i>5 points = clearly demonstrated data showing incremental, multi-year success increasing permanent housing and/or participant safety;</i> <i>2 points = shared one clear success increasing permanent housing and/or participant safety, saw an overall decrease in permanent housing rates but specified a plan to resolve;</i> <i>0 points = not tracking data or no clear plan to increase permanent housing stability or safety. Projects that previously served target populations of DV Survivors or Youth but will not serve that population going forward score 0 points.</i>	Experience working with Target Population	5	3
25. Does the agency provide participants with low barrier services while maintaining program participation and increased safety? <i>5 points = clearly demonstrated success with providing participants with low barrier services while maintaining participation and increasing safety. Methods for achieving these metrics clearly demonstrated;</i> <i>2 points = demonstrated some success with low barrier services but did not demonstrate methods for maintaining program participation or increasing safety;</i> <i>0 points = success providing low barrier services, maintaining participation, or increasing safety is unclear. Methods for improving these metrics not demonstrated.</i>	Low Barrier Service Support	5	5
26. Does the agency demonstrate participant success increasing income, economic independence, and self-sufficiency? <i>7 points = demonstrates success increasing self-sufficiency, including one to two examples and over 15% of participants increasing income in the last project year;</i> <i>5 points = describes one to two successes and percent of participants increasing income in the last year was 12% or more;</i> <i>3 points = lists one success and percent increasing income is between 1% - 12%;</i> <i>0 points = success increasing income is unclear and 0% of participants increased income in the last year.</i>	System Performance Measures - Increasing Self-Sufficiency	7	4

27. Does the agency coordinate with mainstream health, behavioral health, social service, and employment programs? Are participants connected to benefits for which they may be eligible? Have formal commitments been made? - Addiction and recovery services - Mental and physical health care systems - The criminal justice system - Domestic violence services - Other publicly-funded housing unit production or development - Employment supports <i>5 points = at least 5 integration examples from different focus areas above with formal commitments;</i> <i>3 points = three integration examples from different focus areas and formal commitments;</i> <i>1 point = one integration example or many examples all from the same focus area (e.g. physical health or dental), or no formal commitments have been made.</i>	Partnering with Housing, Health, and Service Agencies	5	5
28. Does the project coordinate with first responders and law enforcement to advance public safety and support project success? <i>5 points = demonstrates clear strategies for collaboration with first responders and law enforcement in ways that support project success. One to two examples of collaboration with first responders and/or law enforcement.</i> <i>3 points = demonstrates ability to coordinate with first responders and law enforcement but strategies for supporting project success with collaboration unclear;</i> <i>0 points = unable to coordinate with first responders or law enforcement. No or unclear strategies.</i>	Partnering with First Responders and Law Enforcement	5	5
29. Does the agency provide support services to participants that lead to identifying and retaining permanent housing? Do they use innovative strategies that meet the unique needs of program households? How many participants maintained housing or exited to permanent housing destinations? <i>10 points = four to five specific person-centered and well connected examples (e.g. SOAR, intensive case management, diversion), 95% or more of participants maintained or exited to permanent housing;</i> <i>7 points = two to three reasonable strategies, at least 90% of participants maintained permanent housing status;</i> <i>3 points = one to two reasonable strategy, at least 85% of participants maintained permanent housing status;</i> <i>0 points = no or unclear strategies and/or less than 85% of participants maintained or exited to permanent housing.</i>	System Performance Measures - Reducing Homelessness	10	7
30. Was the APR for the most recently completed program year submitted on time to HUD? <i>Answer of Yes = 1 point; No = 0 points.</i>	Ability to Administer Grant	1	0
31. Did the agency spend out grant funds in the last contract term? <i>2 points = spent out 95% or more of grant funds;</i> <i>1 point = spent out between 90% - 95% of grant funds and has clear plan to increase spending;</i> <i>0 points = spent under 90% of grant funds.</i>	Ability to Manage Funds	2	0

32. Does the agency have administrative capacity to implement a successful CoC program? Do they have experience implementing a full, successful program while complying with federal regulations? <i>3 points = gives clear, specific examples of agency capacity to implement project at full capacity while complying with regulations;</i> <i>1 point = non-specific or unclear statements of capacity.</i>	Ability to Administer Grant	3	3
33. Is the agency an active member in local CoC meetings? <i>2 points = agency staff regularly attend at least two different CoC meetings and serve in a leadership capacity (e.g. Chair) in at least one;</i> <i>1 point = staff attend one CoC meeting, it is unclear how frequently</i> <i>0 points = staff infrequently attend CoC meetings and do not serve in leadership capacity.</i>	Participation in CoC	2	2
TOTAL POINTS		50	39