

Clark County, WA Homeless System Clients by Zip Code of Last Permanent Address

January 1, 2022 - December 31, 2022

The numbers below show the reported permanent residence prior to homelessness for all unduplicated individuals who were identified as homeless in our Clark County Homeless Management Information System during 2022 and reported a valid "Zip Code of Permanent Address" to service providers.

City	Zip Code	# of People	% of Clark County Total	% of Overall Total
Amboy	98601	14	0.3%	0.3%
Battle Ground	98604	103	2.4%	1.9%
Brush Prairie	98606	22	0.5%	0.4%
Camas	98607	69	1.6%	1.3%
La Center	98629	23	0.5%	0.4%
Ridgefield	98642	52	1.2%	1.0%
Vancouver	98660	357	8.3%	6.7%
Vancouver	98661	1232	28.6%	23.1%
Vancouver	98663	194	4.5%	3.6%
Vancouver	98664	215	5.0%	4.0%
Vancouver	98683	183	4.2%	3.4%
Vancouver	98684	266	6.2%	5.0%
Five Corners (uninc.)	98662	383	8.9%	7.2%
Hazeldell (uninc.)	98665	350	8.1%	6.6%
Orchards (uninc.)	98682	432	10.0%	8.1%
Felida (uninc.)	98685	114	2.6%	2.1%
Salmon Creek (uninc.)	98686	120	2.8%	2.3%
Washougal	98671	114	2.6%	2.1%
Woodland	98674	44	1.0%	0.8%
Yacolt	98675	23	0.5%	0.4%

Reason	# of People	% of People
Cannot Afford Housing	1319	25%
Domestic Violence	808	15%
Household Crisis	588	11%
Eviction	437	8%
Substance/Alcohol Dependency	194	4%

State	# of People	% of Total
Washington	4,643	87.2%
Clark County	4,310	81.0%
Vancouver	2,447	46.0%
Oregon	365	6.9%
Portland	195	3.7%
California	60	1.1%
Texas	21	0.4%
Idaho	20	0.4%
Arizona	20	0.4%
Colorado	18	0.3%
Utah	15	0.3%
Florida	11	0.2%
Alabama	11	0.2%
Georgia	10	0.2%
Alaska	10	0.2%
Montana	9	0.2%
Nevada	8	0.2%
Hawai'i	8	0.2%
Oklahoma	7	0.1%
Louisiana	7	0.1%
Virginia	6	0.1%
New Mexico	6	0.1%
Minnesota	6	0.1%
Ohio	5	0.1%
Massachusetts	5	0.1%
Arkansas	5	0.1%
Other States	48	0.9%
Overall Total	5324	

Note: These numbers do not represent all people experiencing homelessness from Jan. 1, 2022 through Dec. 31, 2022 as 17.8% of adults did not have a valid "Zip Code of Last Permanent Residence" recorded in HMIS. These numbers are based on the 82.2% of clients where the data was available and represented a valid US zip code.

Zip codes are not intended for geographical mapping use, so some zip codes are both inside and outside of a given geography. In these cases, they are assigned to whichever geography covers the majority of the zip code.

Clients are asked to provide the primary reason for their homelessness when they access some services. Answering this question is entirely optional. The top five responses for clients experiencing homelessness in 2022 are shown above.

No single number can tell the whole story.
Learn more at councilforthehomeless.org



All local data from Clark County HMIS